

OGIC Technical Advisory Committee Meeting Notes December 6, 2021

1. Attendance
 - a. Stacy Schumacher, Chris Zeitner, Nathan McAlvage, Phil Smith, Joe Gordon, Tom Elder, Myrica McCune, Rachel Smith, Christina Friedle
2. Announcements
 - a. [Oregon Soil Standard](#) formal in technical review
 - i. Ask: review and provide comments to [Willow Crum](#) by Dec 17th
 - b. OGIC meeting Wednesday, 1/26 12 – 3:30
 - c. Next scheduled TAC meeting is a joint meeting with GPL 3/8 from 1:30 – 3:30
3. OGIC OKR Update
 - a. Performance management workgroup. Questions from performance measure workgroup. Doing deep dive into OKR, weren't getting tracked well. Need baseline data, no way to track progress or how measuring each of OKR. Working with Jacob Lubman to advance work of workgroup and how to show progress.
 - b. OGIC Performance Management Workgroup Recommendations to OGIC
 - i. Likely include a rec for a similar detailed review for TAC, GPL, and PAC
 - ii. TAC or TAC subcommittee Review
 - c. Questions:
 - i. Myrica- Timeline for process?
 1. Two months between now and OGIC meeting. If TAC wants to do a review of OKRs before meeting, can do that. Up to group if there is enough time to review OKRs during holiday season.
 - a. How should the TAC OKRs relate to OGIC OKRs? TAC review should be dependent and linked to OGIC's OKRs.
 - b. TAC will wait until OGIC makes a decision in January.
4. OGIC and Role of TAC
 - a. What TAC assignments should be and how to support OGIC; Make recommendations to OGIC following technical reviews/updates.
 - b. Need to have data standards review process. Staffing shortages affected this. CDO is working on this. 23-25 POP to ask for new positions to support framework program. TAC has technical review role with Framework Program.
 - c. Will have 9 new OGIC members coming on board- what is the requirements of an OGIC member? Director level positions focused on policy level work; need to consider the ability of those positions to keep up with technical details.
 - i. Trying to find balance on OGIC membership- if position is too high in organization and doesn't have technical background, we might lose credibility in GIS community regarding OGICs endorsements of data standards. Important that TAC serves as technical review for OGIC; OGIC won't have bandwidth for serious technical reviews and are focused on higher level policy.
 - d. Questions:
 - i. Phil- reestablishing PAC?

1. PAC will need similar conversation of refresh. Likely that PAC will lose members that will become OGIC members. Need conversation like this regarding what they need to be working on and ensure they are in alignment with OGIC direction and Open Data Mandate.
 2. OGIC hasn't been able to see how GIS aligns with open data.
5. GDMS (Geospatial Data Management and Sharing) Project Update
- a. Implementing ORS 276A.509-515 statute. Foundation for OGIC and TAC.
 - i. GIO shall secure information in geospatial data library, protect availability, integrity, and confidentiality of geospatial data library, and provide a secure electronic means by which a public body may transmit geospatial framework data to and from the library.
 - ii. OGIC shall identify best practices for managing geographic information and systems and determine whether and how to apply these best practices in Oregon. Adopt rules, policies, and standards that identify geospatial framework data that public bodies must share and specify how frequently they are shared. Identify the types, categories, and forms and other classifications of geospatial framework data that public bodies, private entities, and the public need.
 - iii. Implementing ORS 276A
 1. GEOHub Portal
 2. Data Development and Project Validation
 - a. Roads, wildfire, workforce development, and elections are GDMS pilots that will be used to test GEOHub
 - b. Goal is to determine priority data sets to be developed and share in GEOHub portal
 - i. Using these projects to confirm functionality of GEOHub
 3. Data Sharing Governance and Data Management
 - a. Focusing on governance processes and procedures that are needed to support data sharing withing GEOHub.
 - b. Will establish data inventory and data tracking tool
 4. Will be able to provide input and review of data elements.
 5. TAC will have a role in data standards, security and permission issues, and the information that will be collected about the data elements
 - iv. High level schedule- TAC involvement
 1. GEOHub build- testers.
 2. Data governance policies and procedures
 3. Data inventory and management tool
 4. Data governance policies and procedures
 5. Data inventory and management tool validation/use

The work of the TAC will be throughout 2022.
 - v. Questions:
 1. Myrica- public bodies list include universities?
 - a. Rachel- The statute references a definition of public bodies and that does not include universities (ORS 174.109). Rachel

believes that how Universities were defined in statute changed in the last 3-5 years. She can check to make sure Universities are not defined elsewhere as a public body.

2. Stacy- contractor on board for GEOHub?

- a. Not yet. RFQ through basecamp that will be going out soon. Proposals will be due in a couple of weeks. Hoping to have consultant by new year. Consultant will be working on all pieces of data development and project validation, GEOHub portal, and data sharing governance and data management.

6. Framework Program Update

- a. Kickoff meeting before Thanksgiving to introduce Rachel. Assignment to review framework data elements and provide recommendation to OGIC- will come to TAC before OGIC. Will be important to articulate how decisions were made to remove/add data elements- what changes are made to 2017 baseline list- changes need to be vetted and documented. TAC can do technical review before it goes to OGIC.
- b. Data standards out for review.
- c. Kickoff for framework program grants. 5 recipients whose work has hopefully started.
- d. Questions:
 - i. Myrica- role for GPL?
 - 1. Would like to give them opportunity to review framework element list, but TAC recommendation will go to OGIC. TAC can decide how much you want to utilize GPL.
 - a. Combined meetings with GPL could be used for GPL to tell TAC what they are thinking about some of these items and take into consideration.

7. Open Questions/Comments

- a. Myrica- appreciate consideration in role of TAC.
- b. Joe- feels like still learning role of what TAC is doing. Looking forward to seeing where this goes and getting tangible sense of what to do.

8. Roundtable

- a. Joe
 - i. Working on social vulnerability project Metro has been working on. Looking forward to taking that info back to community advisory group. Usually, metro does 3 counties (Clackamas, Multnomah, and Washington) but including 5 counties (adding Clark and Washington).
 - ii. Working with newest LiDAR data; logistical challenges computing data because there are around 25 points per sq. meter.
 - 1. Myrica- social vulnerability components?
 - a. Lots of census and ACS data, but augmenting with other data. School data coming in handy to compare multiple data sets to refine view of different communities.
- b. Myrica
 - i. Beta version of Renewable Energy Siting Assessment Tool to do high level of suitability of sites. Link-

https://tools.oregonexplorer.info/OE_HtmlViewer/Index.html?viewer=renewable

- c. Nathan
 - i. Playing catch up with recruitments.
 - ii. Emergency management group- coming up with standardized combined data sets. Also helpful for county fieldworkers to identify places to stay warm/cool.
- d. Phil
 - i. Data governance and GIS work alignment will continue to evolve.
 - ii. New Chief Data Officer position will be in place
 - iii. ODOT has worked on social vulnerability index as well; could be good opportunities for collaboration
 - iv. ArcGIS Server: TransGIS is going to version four with some fresh tools
 - v. Kicked off new GIS advisory group. See need for stronger stakeholder engagement.
- e. Tom
 - i. Moved to DAS and is now working with Dave Mather and Willow Crum who moved from OPRD to DAS
 - ii. Working on what Josh left behind, ie monthly reporting of AGOL use
 - iii. Still lead for address points FIT: will be working on an update of the statewide geo-coder
 - iv. Working with open data