OGIC Policy Advisory Committee Meeting Revenue Building - Salem March 14, 2007

Present: Cy Smith, DAS/GEO; Dean Anderson, Polk Co./OGISA; Dave Ringeisen, ODOT; Mark

Kinslow, DOR; Bob Devyldere, OWRD; Mike Schuft, ODF; Gail Ewart, DAS/GEO

Scribe: Cy

Handouts: Proposed OGIC Agenda; DOJ Memo re: Admin. Rules for standards; Brand Name Justification example from DOC; OAR 125-247-0275 on Sole Source Procurements; OAR 125-247-0691 on Brand Name or Equal Specifications; Rational and Plan for Declaring ESRI Products as the Statewide GIS Software Standard; Delegation of Authority to DHS for exception to existing policy

(Please call Cy if you'd like a copy of any or all of these handouts.)

| Topic | Discussion Summary | Result | Action Req'd Yes/No | Resp. Party |
|--|--|---|---------------------------|---|
| Agenda Item 1 – Data Sharing Partnership | AOC and the County Assessor's Association have both signed resolutions asking for the establishment of a Legislative Work Group to resolve data sharing issues and develop a data sharing partnership agreement. Cy related that he expects Lindsay will not want to ask for a Legislative Work Group. | Keep as agenda item for OGIC meeting. Action Item for OGIC to endorse based on AOC and OSACA endorsement. Discuss next steps with OGIC. | Yes | Dugan/Cy will brief OGIC on meeting with Lindsay |
| Agenda Item 2– GIS Software Standard | DAS is moving forward with the Admin. Rule process. OGIC and CIOC will be stake-holder advisory groups. Dean said that Polk is using open source web tools because web migration to ESRI is very costly. Getting cost breaks on software and maintenance | Develop/firm up the technical justification for standardizing. Get Dean to write down his good example of why standards are essential. | Yes Yes | Cy will work with consultant Dean will write up example |
| | is one of the primary reasons for standardizing. Several said we should exclude ESRI web software from the standard. Mike said that open source needs a community environment in which to thrive. Bob said perhaps ESRI could be | Get cost and technical information from City of Portland; extrapolate for State if possible, based on how much software we use now and how much we're likely to expand. | Yes | Cy/EISPD and consultant will work on this |
| | mandated for certain functionality, not for specific products. Bob and others | Work with ESRI on a 5-10 year cost estimate for an | Yes | Cy will |

| | said we need better technical justification for standardizing. Dean had a good example. Staff expertise across agencies is predominantly ESRI. Bob said we need to be talking to ESRI about an enterprise license agreement. Cy said that's what we're talking about with them. Need cost information for the ELA. That will require projecting how much software we'll use over a period of time. We can get some useful information from City of Portland, where an ELA already exists. Also, about 15 federal agencies have an ELA now. Dean asked about the bounds for this effort, what exactly are we standardizing, what will the exception process be. | ELA. Also estimate the cost of friction that will exist if we have no standard and the opportunity cost of not standardizing. Look at other jurisdictions for examples of possible exception processes, and bounds within which GIS software standards have been developed. | Yes | work with ESRI on this Cy will explore |
|--|--|--|-----|---|
| Agenda Item 3 – navigatOR budget request | Budget request has been shelved and will be worked at the end of the session. Cy will meet with Lindsay later this week to discuss next steps and timing. | Provide status on navigatOR budget request and next steps to OGIC | Yes | Dugan/Cy will brief OGIC on meeting with Lindsay |