

Notes of Preliminary PAC meeting held February 24, 2006

Attendees: Gary Gipson, Nancy Tubbs, Mark Kinslow, Bob Devyldere, Dave Ringheisen, Emmor Nile, Cy Smith, Gail Ewart

1. POP discussion

Concern about using data development dollars for positions.
Cy explains change in accounting to track investment in spatial data development
Cy describes role of each of the four positions:

PEM-D, partner relations manager (local govt. mostly)
ISS-7 or 8, data steward for Framework themes for which GEO is steward
ISS-6, data integrator for Framework themes for which GEO is steward
AS-2, administrative assistant

Dave R. wants to know how new positions benefit his agency

REQUEST: Show benefit of new positions

Bob D. says Water Resources has seen no value in existing positions and will not support permanent positions. He wants to see deliverables; Service Center has done nothing

Several indicate that the coordination aspect of GEO has been very good

Could agencies help get technical or contract work accomplished more quickly?

Is there another place for GEO? Cy lists Governor's Office, DOR, OEM or another public safety agency. Caution re: housing with agency, is that GEO personnel might end up serving agency instead of wider community.

Gary says ODE doesn't get the data they need (centerlines and address ranges).
Discussion re: data sharing, issues with data sources (local governments mostly)

Results: Propose positions as LDs but also keep POP. Maybe consider a blend, with some positions in POP and some as LDs.

2. Business Purposes Website

Brief demo by Cy. Some small glitches, but overall positive feedback.

3. OGIC presentation

REQUEST: Reps of agencies present want tax lot and centerline data posted for distribution regardless of gaps. This might put pressure on jurisdictions refusing to share data beyond DOR or ODOT.

REQUEST: Status of progress on infrastructure effort. Is CNIC holding things up?
Agencies will bring issue forward in appropriate forums.

OGIC needs more authority and accountability and be willing to make the GIS Utility happen.

Why aren't we asking for a funding package from the legislature as originally planned?
Cy explains that agencies didn't support that approach.
Agency reps suggest that they ask their respective directors.

REQUEST: List of GEO accomplishments

PAC Meeting set for March 3 at 1:30.