Oregon GIS Policy Advisory Committee
March 10, 2004
Oregon Division of State Lands – Salem

Present: Bob Harmon, OWRD; CY Smith, DAS GEO; Doug Terra, OWEB; Nancy Tubbs, USGS; Gail Achterman, OSU-INR; David Ringeisen, ODOT.

Scribe: Lynda Raybould, DAS/IRMD

Handouts: None

Topic	Discussion Summary	Result	Action Req'd Yes/No	Resp. Party
Introductions	Self Introductions		No	
Minutes	None mentioned for previous meeting.			
OGIC Agenda	Cy reported that this is an aggressive agenda and based on the last meeting's results not all of these agenda items may be covered. Cy outlined his approach for each item on the agenda.	Agenda will include: Business Case Status Budget 05-07 ESRI Software Standard Framework Status	No	
Framework	Framework Status: There are no proposals to present at this meeting – outline the ones coming to future meeting.		No	
ESRI	Discussion of ESRI item brought forward concern that some agencies have large investments in other programs. Cy outlined an exception process that will be offered and indicated that GEO will be there to help with the applications. By establishing a standard now we have a better chance at securing a statewide site license and prepare for statewide standard implementation.	ESRI: Justification will be presented to support a request for approval.	No	
Budget	Budget discussion shows that the new Data center consolidation's projected 30% savings will allow Cy to decrease the amount reserved for overhead expense. Cy is working	Budget: Will be presenting the larger budget number presented to OGIC at last meeting ($1.569M); presentation will include information showing that although the amount is a 7%	No	

Topic	Discussion Summary	Result	Action Req'd Yes/No	Resp. Party
	with OBA on a discrepancy with the spreadsheet he submitted and the report that OBA generated.	increase over last year, most individual agencies should experience a decrease, due to an increase in the number of agencies and some larger agencies increase in FTE.		
Business Case	Cy presented the history of the previous presentation. Format of presentation seems to be of most concern. The OGIC sub committee is recommending more detail and a Program outline. Cy asked for input and recommendations. So far the outline includes four major categories to be covered. 1) Data Development; 2) Technology development; 3) Communication/ Coordination and 4) Inventory of Assessments. Part of the difficulty lies in the fact that there are no models to use – no one else has done this in this way.	One recommendation was to go back and use the POP format to get better detail on the presentation. Also – although the history piece is good, by separating its content and adding it to pages from the back of the original presentation it could give clarification to the report and look more like the Business Case that is being requested. Another recommendation was to add some emphasis to Tribal participation. And, by adding an executive summary that briefly gives the who, when and why for the proposal at the front would clarify the information on a CIO level.	Yes	Cy – reformat and send to PAC & OGIC memb before OGIC Mtg. next week.