

# RECAP OGIC



**Meeting Date:** June 19, 2013

**Time:** 10:30am - Noon

**Location:** 155 Cottage St., Conference Room A

**Attendees:** Sean McSpaden (DAS), Mike Schufft (ODF), Rachel Lyles Smith (DOGAMI), Cy Smith (DAS), Dennis Ruth (OMD), Rick Schack (DOR), Dave Ringeisen (ODOT), James Meacham (UO/OUS), Dean Anderson (Polk Cnty), Brady Callahan (OPRD), Malavika Bishop (DEQ), Brian Mladenich (Cow Creek Umpqua Tribe)

CIO Staff: Pamela Larsen (DAS), Milt Hill (DAS GEO),

## **Introductions & Announcements & Approval of Minutes – Sean McSpaden**

Meeting was called to order by Acting Chair, Sean McSpaden at 10:32 am.

Motion made and seconded to approve minutes from the last meeting, approved without objection.

## **GPL Report – Rachel Smith**

GPL has had four meetings since the last OGIC meeting. Rachel implemented a new agency round table, this is an attempt to replace the spreadsheet of ongoing projects to be discussed with OGIC. She now starts with asking about new data, or new data needs and projects that are going on. This has spurred some really good discussions. They've talked about the PLSS Data layer. There's been a lot of discussion on the tax lot data and the data sharing agreements that are currently in place and how they can get more counties to participate, about which state agencies use the tax lot data and what the different use cases are, and that the attributes of each agency is a little different.

The Map Element Standard is still being reviewed. They've received comments and they've made changes. Milt Hill has sent it out for another 45 day review period.

GPL has reviewed the FIT proposals.

**Dean Anderson** - If you receive a document or summary of agency use of the tax lot data talk to Phil and the OR Map group that collects all of that data as an education process to have them see how you're using the data.

## **FIT Framework Funding Recommendations – Milt Hill**

**Sean McSpaden** - Introduced Milt Hill who is going to go through the FIT Framework funding proposals one by one. The outcome that is hoped for today is for OGIC's approval of the proposals as recommended on a consensus basis by the GPL, PAC and by FIT itself. OGIC has received a consensus recommendation from all three.

Sean questioned whether they should vote one by one or all at once based on the recommendations. The decision was made to listen to all proposals then go back and vote one by

one.

**Milt Hill** – Presentation with summary slides. This is the Framework Data Development Program for 2013-2015 in alignment with our biennial budget. Last biennium we did not run this program as to insufficient funding.

Note: This is a statement from the program document and we made one change. We added two last words on the second line that says “make accessible” Oregon Framework Data. That’s to acknowledge that compared to just a few years ago making data available via web services is a big deal these days. So, we wanted to acknowledge that and to encourage people to make their data available and to acknowledge that in their proposals.

Review of the Framework approval process: There is a Framework approval process that OGIC developed a number of years ago and used it for the last two times we ran the program prior to this time. FIT and GPL reviewed the proposals. The reviews both occurred on the same day. Shortly after that the Policy Advisory Committee did a proposal review. They were able avail themselves of the comments from FIT and GPL. After this step, the reviewing team got together and came up with the recommendations that we have before us today.

Milt gave a review of the ten Framework Proposal Recommendations. Out of the ten proposals there are nine recommendations for Do Fund and one Not To Fund by PAC, FIT and GPL.

1. 13-15 Cadastral FIT Proposal - \$72,000.00 – To Fund
2. DEQ Water Quality Funding Proposal – \$20,000.00 - To Fund
3. DOGAMI Land slide Susceptibility Proposal - \$50,000.00 – To Fund
4. Oregon Shorezone Proposal - \$55,000.00 – To Fund
5. Flood Hazard Proposal - \$82,333.00 – To Fund
6. The Emergency Preparedness Framework Data Project - \$48,407.00 – To Fund
7. PLSS FIT Fund Proposal - \$25,000.00 – To Fund
8. Imagery FIT Proposal - \$13,025.00 – To Fund
9. ODFW Framework Data Development Proposal - \$53,450.00 – To Fund
10. SAFER Oregon FIT Proposal – \$94,875.00 - Not to Fund

Question called again on whether they should go through the proposals and vote one at a time or vote for all at one time? Decision made to have general discussions and one vote.

Sean requested motion to approve the full recommendation of the FIT, GPL and PAC.

Motion to approve and seconded.

Unanimous vote in favor.

**Unallocated Funds:** Because the SAFER Oregon FIT Proposal was not recommended for funding

there is about \$80,000.00 of unallocated funds. The consensus of the group was to have a second proposal period for the successful proposals, the nine that were just approved, to be able to apply for some portion of this funding to enhance their projects. The reviewing team would look at the proposals and then allocate the funds. The reviewing team would be one member each from FIT, PAC, GPL, Cy Smith and Milt Hill. See timeline below:

6/21/13 Invite successful proposals to apply

7/12/13 Deadline for proposals

7/19/13 Review Team announces results

Discussion followed.

Question – Can Safer Oregon submit a new proposal since the funding is still there? No, just revise.

### **US Census Summit – Cy Smith**

Cy briefed the council on the trip.

### **Action Items**

- 13-15 Cadastral FIT Proposal – Will add a separate agreement for University use – Cy
- Need to get back to three groups, FIT, GPL & PAC with alternative proposals
- Talk with Safer Oregon folks
- Come back to OGIC with possible alternative at next meeting
- Submit CSR (Contract Services Request Form) for approved Framework Proposals- Cy

Meeting adjourned at 12:03pm.

### **Next meeting:**

September 18, 2013

10:30am - Noon

155 Cottage St. Conference Room A