RECAP IMeeting Name



Meeting Date: March 6, 2013

Time: 10:30am - Noon

Location: 155 Cottage St., Conference Room A

Attendees: Sean McSpaden (DAS), Mike Schuft (ODF), Rachel Lyles Smith (DOGAMI), Cy Smith (DAS), Eric Brandt (LCOG), Dennis Ruth (OMD), Brett Juul (ODOT), Jerri Bohard (ODOT), Mark Kinslow (DOR), Janine Salwasser (INR/OSU), Vicki S. McConnell (DOGAMI), Gary Gipson (OED)

Guests: Matthieu Denuelle (ESRI)

CIO Staff: Pamela Larsen, Milt Hill (DAS GEO), Philip Woods (DAS GEO), Ed Arabas (DAS)

Introductions, Announcements & Approval of Minutes – Sean McSpaden

Meeting was called to order by Acting Chair, Sean McSpaden at 10:33 am. The minutes from the last meeting were approved without objection or change.

The participation/attendance of OGIC members in the OGIC meetings was questioned – there's quite a long list of agencies with members, approximately 27. If a member cannot attend they should send a delegate. Cy Smith would appreciate guidance on what topics we really need to be discussing to bring more members to the meetings. Suggestions were made to "note" key information to inform members of when they do need to attend meetings. Possibly make this a regular agenda item.

Last Meetings Action Item follow-up – OGIC Map Elements Standard out for 45 day review. Due by April 11, 2013.

GPL Report – Rachel Smith

At the January GPL meeting, GPL decided that the project tracker is not working and would like to terminate it. It falls short because there is not enough participation from all of the agencies. Please encourage your GPL representative to participate in GPL. Milt Hill added that the GPL meetings generally occur in Portland or Salem and that they will run a van pool to Portland.

Broadband Mapping Presentation – Philip Woods & Ed Arabas

Slide show presented by Philip Woods who is project manager for the Broadband Mapping Project, a grant program from the federal government that runs through 2014. They are creating a web map of all broadband internet service providers in Oregon. Slideshow presentation can be found at: http://www.oregon.gov/DAS/CIO/GEO/ogic/docs/OregonBroadbandMappingProjectOGIC03062013.pdf.

Address Repository Initiative – Cy Smith

Cy gave an update of the Statewide Address Repository Project with a slide showing the work breakdown structure. Address authorities are a key aspect of this project. There are 300+ address authorities throughout the state. Every jurisdiction assigns an address in their jurisdiction. They have an authority that's responsible for that, along with regulations and local ordinances that tell how they set this up. Tools being developed are computer code that will take non-standard address data from address authorities and transform it into a standardized form, and an editing tool that will be used by local governments that are currently in a paper or mylar map environment.

Repository development includes collecting address points and agreeing on the data model. We've made a lot of progress – have data model and have been experimenting, testing putting data into the data model. With the level of work required have come to an understanding that we will absolutely require help. There are some regional aggregators that are doing this kind of work already.

Editing tool development - will be put on the desktops of the address authorities that will allow them to update the address points. GEO will not be developing the tools. The tools will either be bought or contract to have them developed.

GEO is hosting the project. GEO is going to set it up so we're getting the data from all the editing tools, LCOG, METRO, Jackson County, or other regional aggregators or repositories. It will be set up so that GEO receives a feed from those folks on a regular basis. All of the work of data entry and QC will be done at the local level.

Adopting Address Standards – there is already a national standard. NENA (National Emergency Numbering Association) is the key "go to" organization for 911 Centers and the FGDC (Federal Geographic Data Committee) is the "go to" for GIS standards. These two groups have been working closely together to align standards around address points.

GEO is adopting the FGDC standard because it will work for the public safety folks, too. The state has had an address standard since 2002, before there was a national standard and it's closest to the FGDC standard.

Integrating Repository – Repository will be one component of MSAG. MSAG will also include boundaries that the 911 centers use to know how to dispatch emergency vehicles. This integration of address points is being guided at the national level.

Timeline for completion - hoping to have widespread agreement on data model by middle of year. Working on funding. May have an operational system by end of 2014.

FIT Framework Funding Process – Milt Hill

Historically GEO has had a pool of assessment funds that is used as seed or data development money. This is not a grant, but works like a grant program. Last biennium, the program had a small amount of funding. This biennium it's in the Governor's budget. GEO has \$500K in the budget for this purpose.

Review of high level items and make OGIC aware of the decision that they will be making coming out of the June meeting related to approval of specific projects using this fund.

Presentation – Process Document – Formed a reviewing team with representatives from FIT, PAC, GPL, State GIO. This group will prioritize the published criteria that the proposals are judged by. Have had a proposal review meeting. After the proposals are submitted the reviewing team does a cursory check. Then FIT, PAC and GPL will review the proposals, judge them based on criteria and rank them. After which the reviewing team will put that information into a unified proposal and will email that to OGIC a week or two before the June meeting for review. At the June OGIC

meeting we'll discuss them and reach some consensus and approve proposals. Interagency agreements will be developed early in July and work can begin almost immediately.

Funding – Sean - The COO, Michael Jordan, has been tasked by the Governor and the Legislature to take a hard look at the administrative needs of government – Human Resources, Procurement, Facilities and Technology. Agencies have been asked to identify budget reduction proposals that went to legislature. We don't know where the cuts will be taken but will try to protect FIT.

Governor's 10-Year Plan Executive Dashboard – Sean McSpaden

Presentation on 10 year plan Metrics/Visualization. Governor's six areas; Education, Jobs & Innovation, Health, Safety, Environment and Government Improvement. One of the key challenges we're going to have is that in each of the outcome areas there is an outcome statement and then there are five or six metrics in each area. One of the things we don't know is if each of the metrics has equivalent weight in each of the outcome areas. The policy makers will have to decide the weighting of the different metrics. For example, is cigarette smoking or affordable housing more important in the Health outcome area...or are they equally important?

Designing dashboard with widgets that can be expanded into full size maps and charts within the dashboard or can be essentially embedded in other websites. Dashboard would serve as sort of a repository of all the data and anybody from any agency could go out and grab the thing that they're interested in, then pull that data and that widget into another website.

Dashboard Reporting Widgets – ability to export or embed those dashboard widgets into other websites; capability to embed a live link snapshot on the map to the dashboard itself, so every time it changes, every website that has the map or the chart embedded in it will update automatically. Also optimized for tablets and for smart phones. Have made a lot of progress but there's still work to do.

Data side – starting to develop a very comprehensive chart. There are several areas that really are not metrics and can't be measured. They are ideas, concepts. Have to figure out a metric to use to measure data/information. Need additional information in order to measure the outcome.

DC Update – Cy Smith, Sean McSpaden

Met with staff, Senator Merkley and Representative Bonamici.

There were two threads that ran through what we said to them -

- Improving Public Safety with better information for first responders and others involved in Emergency Management
- Efficient use of public funds NISGIC has a National Geospatial Data Policy that they're promoting. The idea is to emulate the broadband program where FCC gave grant money to every state and said here's the guideline and standards, build us a national broadband map. In nine months we had a national broadband map for \$350M dollars. And the money will keep it up to date for five years. The opposite of that Census spent \$444M dollars leading up to the 2010 census creating address points. Used their own money, something they created and nobody else can have it. It's protected under Title 13 as confidential information. If the Census had given that \$444 million to the states, we would have a national address repository right now.
- Sean did a piece on the RAPTOR application.
- One more point that was brought up to them the General Accounting office produced a report saying federal Agencies need to make coordination a priority to reduce duplication.

We highlighted a few points for the delegation and left handouts for them. Within this report it talks about the approach of providing money to state and local governments to build the data rather than have federal agencies duplicate the data production. Having the GAO report that was produced in 2012, so most of them hadn't seen it yet, as a backup to our information was powerful.

Meeting adjourned at 12:03pm.

Next meeting:

June 19, 2013 10:30am - Noon 155 Cottage St. Conference Room A