

RECAP

Meeting Name

Meeting Date: June 15, 2011

Time: 10:30am - Noon

Location: 155 Cottage St., Conference Room A

Attendees: Rudy Watzig (DOGAMI), Sheri Schneider (USGS), Diana Walker (ODA), Bob Harmon (OWRD), Brett Juul (ODOT), Jim Bucholz (DOR), Jes Mendez (OED), Randy Sounhein (DSL), Brady Smith (Siletz Tribe), Rick Schack (DOR), Jim Meacham (UofO-OUS), Cy Smith (DAS), Dan Antonson, (DSL), Malavika Bishop(DEQ), Eric Brandt (LCOG), Dennis Ruth (OMD), Brady Callahan (OPRD), Dave Ringeisen (ODOT)

EISPD Staff: Milt Hill, Carol Zielinski, Nick Betsacon

Introductions & Announcements, Approval of Minutes, Recognition of Service – Cy Smith

Cy Smith, chairing the meeting in Dugan Petty's absence, welcomed the group and called the meeting to order at 10:30am. Cy presented certificates of recognition to Diana Walker for her service as the Administrative Boundaries Framework Lead from 2003 - 2011 and Randy Sounhein for his service as Imagery Framework Team Lead from 2001 – 2011.

Minutes review; motion made, seconded and unanimous vote to approve the minutes as written.

GPL Report – Diana Walker

The GPL group has been utilizing GovSpace for their collaboration. They are still working through some issues. Cy asked that the GPL group assess it as a collaboration tool on behalf of OGIC and make a recommendation to the group regarding the pro's and con's of the application.

Cadastral Data Sharing Agreement – Rick Schack

28 counties have now signed the agreement. The current contract is being worked on and will reflect the loss of the \$1,000 incentive. Milt reported that GEO and DOR will be working together to join the property tax tables to the shape files and they will be ready for delivery to state agencies via the web by the July GPL meeting.

Transportation Data Sharing Agreement – Brett Juul

911 PSAP signature gathering work continues through this month. As signatures are gathered the data will be released to ODOT to add to the ORTRANS data base. GeoSolve has acquired signatures for 19 of the 24 PSAP's covering 20 counties. Brett has the list if anyone wants to know the details.

Cy raised the question about how tribal roads are being handled within the agreements and should tribes be added to the data sharing agreement. Brett responded that Warm Springs Reservation is working with Jefferson County and the data is limited at this time. But it was agreed that tribal roads data sharing should be documented within the agreement, and that the tribes should be asked to sign the agreement. Brady Smith and Brett will work toward this.

The data will be put in the public domain with the exception of Crook County; OEM was not able to get their signature on the public domain attachment. ODOT will work on getting that signature.

Transportation Data Portal Update:

GEO, ODOT and OUS partnered to develop a transportation data portal that will create a new ODOT data download tab that exposes the extraction of road centerline data. A demo was made at the May 23 Transportation FIT meeting and it is on schedule to be completed by the end of June.

Legislative Review; Imagery Status – Cy Smith & Milt Hill

Many of the bills that were being tracked didn't make it to a committee hearing; possibly due to fiscal impact. SB877 relating to establishment of the Oregon Coordinate Reference System passed and was signed by the Governor on June 1. The bill includes the establishment of an advisory committee that will include an OGIC member. The language in the bill related to the advisory committee is as follows:

SECTION 7. (1) The Department of Transportation shall adopt rules to implement section 1 of this 2011 Act and the amendments to ORS 209.130, 209.155, 209.250 and 390.770 by sections 2 to 5 of this 2011 Act on or before the operative date specified in section 8 of this 2011 Act.

(2) Before adopting the initial rules required to implement section 1 of this 2011 Act, the Department of Transportation shall establish an advisory committee, as described in ORS 183.333.

(3) The Department of Transportation shall appoint the following advisory committee members:

- (a) Two members representing the department;**
 - (b) Two members who are county surveyors in Oregon and members of the Oregon Association of County Engineers and Surveyors;**
 - (c) Two members representing professional surveyors in private practice in Oregon; and**
 - (d) One member representing the entity reorganized and renamed as the Oregon Geographic Information Council by Executive Order 94-16.**
- (4) Administrative rules adopted or amended pursuant to this section must be approved by a majority of the members of the advisory committee.**

SB242 would remove OUS from the Executive Branch. It would impact the OGIC assessment so Cy, Jim Meacham, and Curt Pederson are working with OUS to identify contingencies to minimize that impact. It is not yet known whether this bill will pass and in what form.

Imagery Status –

Milt Hill reported that the 2009 half meter statewide imagery updates have been received. The issue of space and bandwidth efficiency was addressed and Department of Forestry assisted with compression of the imagery. GEO is working toward freeing up disk space at the SDC to be able to store this imagery there in a way that will provide optimal access. Cy added that there is a temporary website that contains all 4 iterations of the statewide imagery – 1995, 2000, 2005, and 2009, but does not have all the functionality of the Imagery Explorer. That site will be rebuilt using Esri software and will restore all previous functionality, as well as enhanced features. All imagery storage is being addressed, including Lidar and oblique imagery. Cy has received some quotes and is currently pursuing improved storage rates at the SDC.

FIT Charter & New FIT Leads – Milt Hill

Milt reviewed a charter addressing membership and responsibilities that the FIT group developed that included a timely review and formal process for appointing FIT leads. Governance of the individual FIT committees was not addressed. After discussion, modifications to include language addressing individual FIT teams establishing their own charter to include frequency of meetings and

other operational aspects will be made. Milt will initiate discussion with the charter development team to incorporate that language.

FIT Lead nominees requiring OGIC endorsement are Chad Crockett (ODOT) as the Administrative Boundary FIT Lead, Emmor Nile(ODF) as the Imagery FIT lead, and Phil McClellan (DOR) for the Cadastral FIT. Motion made to accept all nominees, seconded and unanimous approval to appoint all FIT lead nominees.

ESRI ELA Negotiation Status – Nick Betsacon

Negotiations have been completed. Nick provided background on the original ESRI agreement, the initial renewal proposal from ESRI, and the current, negotiated renewal proposal that the State should accept. The original agreement was negotiated for \$850K for 28 months. The cost was based upon maintenance and support for approximately 400 licenses deployed across the enterprise. The deal equated to \$30,357 per month for 400 licenses. The initial renewal proposal from ESRI was for \$1.7M for 24 months for approximately 800 licenses deployed across the enterprise. The proposal equated to \$70,833 per month for 800 deployed licenses. We declined that proposal. The next ESRI renewal proposal was for \$1.5M for 24 months for 800 deployed licenses. The proposal equated to \$62,500 per month. We declined the proposal, but agreed to accept a proposal for the same dollar value, **provided** ESRI added one month to the time. ESRI agreed. The renewal will be for 25 months for 800 deployed licenses for \$1.5M. This equates to \$60K / month, a little less than double the monthly cost for double the deployed licenses in comparison to the original agreement. A \$150K EAP package is part of the \$1.5M renewal. Cy added that DAS will pay for the full package, and agencies can purchase credits as they need them from DAS at cost. That reduces the shared maintenance and support cost for agency licensees to \$1.35M or approximately \$54K per month. Individual agency cost information will be available the week of June 20, 2011. The plan is to renew the ELA at the bargained-for price point by June 30, 2011. T&C around proposed addenda for a master price agreement and ESRI professional services are still in negotiation. The plan is to amend the ELA within 90 days of its renewal to add these addenda. Additional discounts in connection with these addenda are still being sought.

OGIC/DAS Memorandum of Agreement – Cy Smith

Cy reported that the MOA was discussed at the Policy Advisory Committee. Cy did make a change on the last page as a result of the PAC review. Bullets 4 & 5 under Obligations of OGIC Member State Agencies were changed to reflect the understanding that coordination with OGIC means coordination with the appropriate OGIC committee, not necessarily the Council itself. Concerns were expressed about the security language or data use in cases where there are preemptive agreements or contracts that preclude sharing. The group discussed some potential changes including adding tribes and OUS. Acronym definitions also need to be defined. Cy will update the document incorporating the suggestions and send out to the full distribution list for comment. It was requested that he include tracking so the changes will be obvious.

Data Sharing Strategy

Many data sharing solutions have been attempted with only a few successes. Cadastral and transportation data will be available for some parts of the state as a result of the latest two year attempt at completing data sharing agreements, but we won't have all the other framework data sets and no obvious path to get there. We are supposed to be developing trust but without the \$1,000 incentive for cadastral data who knows if we'll ever get any more data updates. Local governments have given three reasons for not sharing data: cost, privacy and confidentiality issues and liability. There was extended discussion about possible next steps, but general agreement that

it was time to do something different. Getting more executive support, particularly from the Governor, would help. The Governor and/or his staff may have some ideas about how to move forward effectively. A resolution from OGIC expressing the problem and asking for the Governor's involvement will be drafted and circulated for review/comment in the next few weeks. A marketing piece articulating the benefits to local governments and others would be good. It was agreed that AOC is powerless to resolve this issue but may be able to exert influence or help in other ways.

Meeting adjourned at 12:00pm.

Next meeting:

September 14, 2011

10:30am - Noon

155 Cottage St. Conference Room A

DRAFT