

**OGIC**  
**12/12/07**  
**Draft Minutes**

**Attendees:** Dean Anderson, Ed Arabas, Ben Berry, Malavika Bishop, Jim Bucholz, John Byers, Brady Callahan, Mark Darienzo, Karen Gregory, Laer Haider, Milton Hill, Mark Kinslow, Jim Meacham, Vicki McConnell, Terri Noble, Dugan Petty (Chair), Angela Skyberg, Graham Slater, Cy Smith, Bob Swank, and Diana Walker

***Action Item:***

*Review the draft minutes from September's OGIC meeting and they will be brought forward at the March meeting for approval.*

**Data Sharing Partnership ~ Cy Smith**

Cy is working on re-initiating the data sharing process to move it forward. Cy shared the Action Plan that Bob Haas, Deschutes County, gave to the Data Partnership Task Force (<http://www.oregon.gov/DAS/EISPD/GEO/docs/datasharing/DataSharingActionPlan2007.ppt>). The presentation was revised to reflect changes recommended by the county, city, and state officials that attended the Task Force meeting on Dec. 6, 2007. There have been three issues identified by the Task Force that are impediments to data sharing: ongoing funding, liability/risk and privacy/confidentiality. Limiting risk exposure for local governments who share their data is a key element in enabling further data sharing. The Cadastral Framework Group submitted a proposal to the Framework Implementation Team for \$36K, \$1K for each County, from the \$500K data development fund OGIC provides each biennium. This takes the funding issue off the table right now and buys us a license for at least the tax lot data, and perhaps more, for a year. The action items in the Task Force Action Plan are short term and the objective is to get something done within the first quarter of next year. DLCD has Measure 49 needs for cadastral boundary and tax lot ID data beginning in January so that the public can determine how to proceed with M49 claims they may wish to make. In March, DLCD staff will need some additional cadastral data to process M49 claims. The \$36K proposal includes ownership, address, boundaries, and the tax lot ID, in addition to a few other attributes. The stipulation for that proposal is that the information is for internal use by state agencies, not for publication and access by the general public.

The Data Partnership Task Force will give their recommendations, as they are determined, to the Policy Advisory Committee (PAC), which will make a recommendation to OGIC. The Task Force needs to work more on the governance or administration issue: how the multi-jurisdictional partnership will be managed and maintained over time. The Task Force is comprised of many local government reps, as well as a few state government reps. The attendees at the last Task Force meeting were Linda Hill (Union County Assessor), Dean Anderson (Polk County IT/GIS/Public Works Manager), David Barber (Tillamook County GIS Manager), Brandt Melick (Springfield GIS Manager), Bob Haas (Deschutes County GIS Manager), David Ringeisen (ODOT), Mark Kinslow (ODOR), Dick Bolen (Metro GIS Manager), Cress Bates (Lane County), Cy, and Phil McClellan (ODOR). It was mostly local governments and they ran the meeting. As the Task Force works through the governance issue, the Action Plan calls for

ODOT and Revenue to lead two parallel tracks, working with their local government colleagues to initiate limited data sharing for tax lots and roads data, as a means of developing a trust relationship that will ultimately result in more extensive data sharing in the future. Dean Anderson said he believes we are on the right track. The feedback from people at the Task Force meeting was very positive with regard to moving this project forward. Hopefully, the Task Force activities will enable us to build a structured framework of agreements and data sharing that will eliminate the need for legislation. If we can do this through Inter Governmental Agreements (IGA's) and we have success, we can begin to build upon that. The counties and cities perspective on this is that the stakeholders are more limited because it's not the whole county GIS, its parts of them. At some point within the governance, we are going to have to involve the League of Cities and the Association of Counties, but it will be less threatening if it's not happening just prior to a legislative session. Some solid recommendations as to what the next steps should be and what the governance group ought to look like will be made before the next scheduled OGIC meeting.

***Action Items:***

*Cy will post the data sharing presentation on the Web.*

*The task force will bring recommendations 2 weeks before the next OGIC meeting*

*Recommendations will be brought forward for acceptance at the March OGIC meeting*

**GIS Program Leaders Report ~ Brady Callahan**

Brady Callahan is the new chair of GPL. There are issues with GIS Program Leaders (GPL) relationship with OGIC. Per GPL's charter, they are supposed to be tech advisors to OGIC. Their mission is to serve as a public forum for all agencies and provide OGIC with technical advice. There are three key points where GPL has diverged from their charter:

- GPL hasn't been officially participating in OGIC
- GPL hasn't been advising GEO on funding issues
- GPL was to oversee FIT and pass this info from GPL to OGIC

One concern is that there needs to be local government representation at GPL. Brady reassured OGIC that local government representation is welcome and should attend these meetings. Local government representatives feel that there needs to be a business case made for their attendance. Careful attention needs to be given to assure GPL focuses on the technical issues and the Policy Advisory Committee (PAC) keeps focus on the policy issues. There is an important need for GPL and OGIC to stay connected in the day to day activities and there is a need to look at how OGIC makes decisions and how GPL's technical advice fits into the decision making process. From this point forward, OGIC is committed to a GPL report at each of the quarterly OGIC meetings. GPL meets the 2<sup>nd</sup> Tuesday of every month.

***Action Items:***

*Brady will get together with Bob Swank, Dean Anderson, and others and bring a report to the next OGIC meeting. Brady will send a list of participants and schedule for GPL.*

**Framework Implementation Team ~ Milton Hill**

The portion of the OGIC assessment to be allocated to Framework data proposals is being worked on in terms of determining a way to work with the current situation and make the best decisions on how to allocate the funds. The Framework Implementation Team (FIT) and GPL

will review and develop a consensus opinion, or approval list, to bring to OGIC. On January 8, GPL will provide their review and there will be a special FIT meeting the following week. Brady will represent GPL at that meeting and they will form their consensus recommendations there. Milt will then email the consensus document to OGIC for approval by January 18<sup>th</sup> and comments, reviews, and approvals will be due January 25<sup>th</sup>. The PAC will be added to this interim process.

***Action Items:***

*Milt will email the consensus document to OGIC members for approval by January 18<sup>th</sup>. Comments, reviews, and approvals will be completed by January 25<sup>th</sup>.*

**Stewardship Agreement ~ Milton Hill**

Gail Ewart gave a presentation on stewardship agreement at Septembers' OGIC meeting. GEO has been working on this Web based form and framework document. Milt will email this document to people. GEO is asking for endorsement of formalization of stewardship because this has to be an ongoing process to manage the shared data as a government asset. If agencies are to build business processes that rely on the shared data, there must be a reliable mechanism for managing and maintaining that data. GEO is trying to formalize the stewardship of the data and is proposing that there be a form that allows agencies who want to be a steward to define their roles and responsibilities, then an Inter-Agency Agreement would be set up with them that codifies those roles and responsibilities. These would be customized agreements with agencies. There were no objections as long as the Data Sharing Task Force can look at this as they move forward with governance. A draft agreement with ODOT for city limits data will come first.

***Action Item:***

*The draft agreement and summary will be sent to members two weeks in advance of the March OGIC meeting.*

*The draft agreement will be brought to OGIC in March for approval.*

**Geospatial Enterprise Office Report ~ Cy Smith**

The software standard is continuing to move forward. The formal hearing was held on the OAR to establish that standard. The hearing officer gave us the report and analysis on the hearing. We are proceeding with implementation now. The administrative rule is expected to be adopted before the March OGIC meeting.

The navigatOR POP is in draft form. We are asking for \$6 million dollars. These funds would be primarily for data and access to data in support of Public Safety, and would also help cover 3 additional salaries at GEO. If this moves forward, Cy will come back to OGIC and find out if that's acceptable or not. This POP is focused on a particular set of business processes around public safety. Although this business process was the chosen approach, the data and accessibility tools will be useful for a lot of things, not just public safety. We are unsure where the revenue source will come from. Right now, EISPD has 4 POP's in the yes column and about 4 or 5 in the maybe category within DAS's internal POP approval process. The navigatOR POP is in the maybe category. On December 20, the director of DAS will meet with a group of government officials to review all POPs in the yes category and to decide if they are willing to move forward

with these. They can also look in the maybe category and decide if they want to give any further consideration to any of those proposals. Sometime in mid January, DAS will take approved POPs and build rates around them. If you have interest or support for the navigatOR POP, talk to your director.

<b><i>Action Items:</i></b>
-----------------------------

<i>Cy will send the navigatOR draft POP out electronically after he sees if it's going to move forward</i>
--

The strategic plan refresh will take place late January, early February. Cy and Milt will be going around meeting with people after the first of the year. The testing on the Geocoder, which plugs into existing web applications and provides a mapped location for any address in the state, is taking place now. Milt Hill gave an Imagery Explorer demonstration. To access the Imagery Explorer, please visit [www.oregonexplorer.info](http://www.oregonexplorer.info) .

Dogami ~ Vickie McConnell

Vickie brought the Oregon LIDAR business plan to share with everyone. They are looking for funding partners in 3 focus areas:

- South Coast
- North Coast
- 
- Willamette Valley

<b><i>Action Items:</i></b>
-----------------------------

<i>Please read this LIDAR business plan and see how your organization can fit into this.</i>
--