

9/19/07
OGIC
draft minutes

Attendees: Dean Anderson, Bob Bailey, Brady Callahan, Gail Ewart, Laer Haider, Jeannette Holman, Brett Juul, Jim Meacham, Dugan Petty, Sheri Schneider, Clark Seely, Angela Skyberg, Cy Smith

GIS Software Standards update ~ Dugan Petty

Input is still being received regarding the GIS Software Standard Administrative Rule, however; there are restrictions now that we are in the formal hearing process. Scott Riordan is our hearing officer. September 27 at 5:00 pm is when the public hearing portion of the hearing will close. There have been 12 responses so far. Scott Riordan will take these responses and put them into the process document. DAS will consider all the input received regarding the GIS Software Standard, however; they are bound by scope as to changes and adjustments. DAS will consider comments, make final revisions to the draft, and the rule will be adopted by DAS. At this point, DAS is not planning on bringing the GIS Software Standard Administrative Rule back to this forum. DAS will decide what's adopted or not adopted. DAS is required to adopt a software standard by rules set by the Department of Justice (DOJ).

Action Item:

Angela will forward the draft rule to OGIC along with the posting site.

Data Sharing Partnership ~ Cy Smith

At the current moment, we are stalled on the Data Sharing Partnership.

Background on Data Sharing Partnership:

Last year we decided we needed to look at and resolve this data sharing issue. There were many meetings with Lindsay which led Cy to travel around the state and meet with commissioners and various public officials. Cy traveled to 5 locations and made great progress. Cy found out that everyone agreed we need to have a state wide set of authoritative based data that could be shared. There were 3 issues everyone agreed needed resolving:

- Liability
- Privacy
- Funding

With that background, the last thing came out was the desire that local government officials want legislative resolve on these 3 issues, not necessarily for action, but they are not comfortable moving forward without a legislative committee's involvement. This is where we are stalled, however; it seems there are things we can do to move this forward. We have a task force we will go talk to about specific steps we can take to move forward. It will serve us well to make some resolve on these issues prior to involving the legislature. Cy asked for input and suggestions from OGIC attendees.

- Have a central repository that central government could tap in and to not wait for legislative action.

- People want a mechanism of governance that involves the local governments in making decisions and the need for local governments to have a much bigger say.
 - Concern was heard from local government officials they have concern DAS is going to railroad them.
- Putting a work group together would put us in a position to resolve these 3 key issues.
 - Once we figure out the forum to get these issues resolved, it will be simple to get partnership agreements to move forward.

The local government officials would be comfortable if the legislature was involved from a work group standpoint. If the task force was chartered by OGIC, it may be viewed as being under executive sponsorship. The OGIC charter may need to be broadened to bring this work group in and participate in ad hoc, then it would have its own charter and an agreement could be reached. Eventually, this navigator model is a utility that would be operated by a cooperative, shared service between multiple groups where stakeholder members will have a say in what happens. All agencies and local jurisdictions have a role to play. As the end of this project draws near, we need to make sure the League of Oregon cities are involved. At the meeting with AOC, a couple of commissioners felt a small group made the decision without them. As we move forward, we will have communication plans and allow stakeholders to come and participate. The thought process on the task force is that the task force will make recommendations on what the issues are related to governance. Then, the governance group would be able to decide what the issues are related to governance, and at that point, the governance group should say do we need legislation, administrative rules, is their organizations under the OGIC umbrella. It's a formally adopted thing by an AOC committee. The task force is going to have the task of making recommendations on what this governance is. The governance group shouldn't be the task force. One main concern is if the task force is formed with local governments will it be taken seriously. ORMAP's overall sponsorship is through the Department of Revenue, it's in statute. ORMAP was designed to keep local government out. The key underlying theme is to build some trust starting at the county commissioner level. Meetings were held with many county commissioners, county assessors, county engineers, surveyors, GIS, city employees, police, and fire chief. The right people are being talked to, it's just these 3 issues, 4 if you include governance. There is no governance model to follow to help resolve this issue. We are trying to invent something new. This issue will be turned over to the Policy Advisory Committee which includes, Bob Devyldere, Mark Kenslo, Dean Anderson, Cy Smith, Mike Schuft, a group of key agencies, and any county members that would like to be a part of this group. This group will come back with recommendations on how to approach this and how to do governance. AOC and LOC annual members come up early November, we should button hole those meetings. Dugan – starting a governance body to resolve some of those issues.

navigatOR ~ Cy Smith

navigatOR is an attempt to build an information utility to put technology in place to share effectively, a portal. The last time the POP, 5 million dollars, was introduced to accelerate the data and technology; it was pushed down to \$750,000 and eventually thrown out. Dugan and Cy met with Lindsay and Kris about planned activities to begin building for the next legislative session. Business cases have been built. OGIC spent

\$500,000 on its business case. The plan is to focus the business case better and look at what the outcome would be if benefit projections were different. Getting the legislative fiscal on board really helps and will lead to stronger state agency support. Legislature didn't understand the connection between agencies and navigatOR. An example of this agency support is, ODOT needs tax lots for local governments, ORMAT is funding that, but there's a problem making it available for everybody, this is where navigatOR comes in. navigatOR needs involvement beyond the state. Gail Ackerman, the former Governor's chief of staff, is going to help make this happen.

Framework Stewardship ~ Gail Ewart

Gail presented a presentation on framework data stewardship, which is the content data part of navigatOR. There are 3 major roles in a stewardship approach:

- Custodial stewards
- Horizontal stewards
- Vertical stewards

The framework implementation team is working on guidance that brings this approach to life. The Stewardship workgroup recommends three classes of stewardship for framework elements:

- Class A – high degree of formality
- Class B – moderate degree of formality
- Class C – low degree of formality

When a steward group is getting ready to be formed, people read through the expert key (handed out at the meeting) and this will tell them what level of stewardship will be required.

The following question was asked: Given the concerns, do you have suggestions for improving the approach to formalizing stewardship that provides effective accountability? Due to time, the attendees were asked to think about that question and it will be re-visited at the next OGIC meeting.

Action Item:

Send comments and thoughts to Gail or Cy regarding framework stewardship

Consent agenda ~ Cy Smith

The motion to pass the consent agenda regarding the Fish Passage Barrier Standard was approved unanimously.

New business ~ Cy Smith

OGIC has \$500 thousand in assessment and is working with the framework implementation team on prioritizing and taking up proposals. It is anticipated that by the end of the year, it will be known where the money is going. It will be January or February before we are ready to have agreements put in place.

Next Meeting:

December 19, 2007, Conference room A