

GPL Meeting (02/12/13) Notes:

Time: 1:30 PM to 3:30 PM

Location: DAS/GEO (155 Cottage St. NE, Salem, OR 97301) Conference Rm. A

A: = action item

Introductions/Attendees

Meeting Minutes for January

Approved by group.

Announcements

State ESRI User Group Meeting, February 26, Salem. Presentation by ESRI staff:

GIS Survival Guide: Your GIS Program Health Check

ESRI Developer Summit, March 25-28, Palm Springs Convention Center

GIS in Action, April 30th and May 1st @ Portland State University

CoastGIS 2013, June 18-21, Victoria, BC

ESRI User Conference, July 8-12, San Diego Convention Center

NW GIS Conference: Oct. 14-18th, Sunriver, OR

Call for papers/presenters will be in the beginning of April. Early registration = \$350. Conference will have ESRI workshops and technical sessions, user presentations, poster gallery and pre-conference training (\$650-\$700) <http://sunriver2013.nwgis.org/>

ODF will be announcing an ISS-6 Data Administrator position soon. See Emmor Nile for details.

Paid internship opportunity: Cy Smith has a contact for getting a VA funded internship that can help on various tasks you might have within your organization. You must have a job description for work that needs to be done, then they'll work with you to set up/assign an appropriate intern for the task. This is an effort associated with Portland Community College. Contact Cy Smith for more details if interested.

Next GPL Meetings

March 12, 2013 - ODF, Clatsop room, Building C, 2600 State Street, Salem, OR 97310

http://www.odf.state.or.us/gis/salem_campus.pdf

April 9, 2013 - Revenue Building, 955 Center St NE, Salem, OR, Conf. Rm. 467

May 14, 2013 - Review and rank FIT funding proposals, **Place TBD**

Update on Map Elements Standard: Milt Hill

OGIC asked that GPL review the Map Element Standard which is a document that originated from GPL (vs. a FIT group) in 2005. GPL reviewed and edited the document as part of our January meeting. Milt then made the actual document edits and sent it to the group for review. Milt is now running it through the standard process for getting it approved. It is now in a 45 day comment period which ends April 3rd. Following this time for comments, the document and comments received will be presented to GPL to

address the comments received. If GPL makes changes based on the comments, he will send it out again for another round of review and comments. If no changes, then we'll move it forward to OGIC for final approval.

Comments:

Bob H. –Why is this any different than other standards? Bob says the map element standard is really meant to be for State agencies only and GPL should take this into consideration when reviewing the comments.

Brady C. – general comment from his (OPRD) agency director - the map element standard should provide clearer definition of what maps are vs. other products.

A: = GPL will review these comments when the standard comes back to the group after the 45 day comment period closes.

DAS GEO website transition: Milt Hill

DAS was reorganized and therefore their website url was renamed. This has caused some problems for folks referencing data hosted by DAS GEO. Therefore, GEO is working to get gis.oregon.gov reinstated. Milt is asking for anyone to let him know of any hiccups on the web site.

Broadband Project Demo: Philip Woods, 503-378-6612, philip.woods@state.or.us

The Broadband Project is creating an inventory of all of the broadband service providers to show service area coverage (geography), type of service (technologies) and speeds offered. Then a validation of this data is to be conducted. Oregon data created for this project is going to the national broadband database and is updated twice a year. The project is funded through 2014. It informs the expansion of the broadband network. It is not clear who is going to keep this data up to date after 2014.

Broadband really means “high-speed” internet access.

The web application to collect data from the service providers is built w/ Flex.

In addition to this the project included some other items such as surveys with cities to encourage broadband adoption and working with small businesses. Other info collected is middle mile locations (network capability) and community anchor institutions (schools, libraries, hospitals, etc..)

Data is available to State agencies by request. Philip Woods is the point of contact (see above).

<http://broadband.oregon.gov>

<http://www.oregon.gov/broadband>

<http://www.broadbandmap.gov>

Update/Info on Framework Funding RFP and role of GPL: Milt Hill

DAS GEO got approved for framework funding in the Governor's Recommended Budget. OGIC will make decisions on proposals in their June meeting. Agreements will be put together in early July based on these decisions.

Feb. 21st RFP Review Meeting will allow people to ask questions about the process or any aspect of the proposals. Meeting will be held at Forestry (ODF).

Randall Sounhein is the GPL rep for the reviewing team. The reviewing team will be meeting tomorrow to discuss and rank the criteria for judging the proposals. The May GPL meeting will be used to discuss the FIT proposals.

Agency Round Table:

Discussion on Tax Lot data:

Randall S. is updating county tax lot data and asked for a contact for Grant County and perhaps some help in coordinating with the local representatives. Bob H. says he had to pay for the Grant County data; went to the County assessor. Lane Burton is the Grant Co. assessor.

Brady C. asked about 2012 tax lot data status. Milt said they didn't have as much participation from counties. 2011 was a good year for collecting data. Milt hasn't yet put it together.

A: Milt will work with Dave M. to get the 2012 tax lot data out soon.

ODOT Right of Way office has all county tax lot data. But it's only usable within ODOT. ORMAP is the ODOT statewide cadastral map. However, the counties are the owners of the data and reserve the right to restrict the release of the digital data.

A: Chad Brady will try and work with Cy's group to get more data sharing agreements for the State.

Ken Hill (OMD) – functions under Federal mandates to get the data but he still doesn't have all of the data.

Discussion on PLSS data:

Brady – went shopping for PLSS without donation land claims. This is needed – not available. Water Resources (WRD) is converting the BLM's current CADNSDI format for the PLS into a format that will meet its business needs. Where necessary, it is subdividing sections into "nominal" quarter quarters. WRD is not trying to create a legal version of PLSS.

The BLM is the current steward but there are lots of holes in the data where they don't have interest. Perhaps BLM can continue be the steward if it were put together? Another possibility for the steward is DOR (Revenue).

Brady is wondering if Revenue can provide the control so that the PLSS ¼ ¼ data layer can be created. Looking for the original control point data so that this can be built. Ken says using ODOT controls are reliable. However they're only available near the highway. WRD would like to add that it is not with the current scope of its project to use control data.

A: John P. (DOR) will look into putting together control data for this effort. He will make a request to his management and ask for help on the issue.

Discussion on State Owned Facilities/DAS data:

Cy – recommending a small group get together to figure out if we can fix the mess of databases with DAS state owned facilities. This group would include: DSL, OEM, DOGAMI, DAS GEO.

Discussion on USGS topo quad 2011 version:

Emmor N. – USGS topo quad new 2011 version. Not a replacement for the DRG's. Some are interested in getting a seamless feature of the new 2011 version DRG dataset. Emmor wants to convert to a

statewide layer and then create a colormap for the data. **A**: Rachel will look into her contacts with the Digital Mapping Techniques conference which is a USGS conference where this topic was discussed in detail. Overall, there is a general need for this data product.

FIT Meeting Update:

This discussion was tabled for the next meeting due to time constraints.

ArcGIS Online Update: Erik Endrulat

Gene Newton now the project manager (DAS) of the AGOL pilot projects. These are small projects to help build user case scenarios and the various specs/needs/requirements.

Erik has been working on the thumbnail images and branding the State AGOL site to represent “all” state agencies vs. GEO. He has also added workflow documents to help guide users on how to use AGOL. Has also been working on data policy, terms of use and moderator disclaimer information. The plan is to provide this document with the invitation to users. He is looking for feedback GPL.

AGOL credit use: he is still not able to see who’s using the credits on AGOL. This is a key component to our implementation and this message has been sent to Esri. This could be a real hang-up to the success of our use.

GEO is having a problem serving the tax lot data using one user name login for a bunch of people. The users have to be part of the GPL group to see the OR Tax Lots map service.

The AGOL Pilot will be extended through April in an effort to get more data on credit usage. That will then inform the ELA negotiation which needs to start in May. The current ELA ends at the end of August. Erik mentioned that NSGIC did a survey of state representatives to find out how the states are using AGOL. GPL was interested in the results of this survey.

A: Erik will post the survey results online so that we can see what other States are doing with AGOL.

The NSGIC document summarizing the survey of states using ArcGIS Online can be found here: <https://govspace.oregon.gov/docs/DOC-23332>

Other items:

Randall S. contacted Microsoft about Bing maps license change. Bing maps is going away and if you want to continue to use the service you will be required to have an agreement with Microsoft. Esri will be providing a different type of service. He is wondering if any other state agencies is interested in taking the lead on this to get some resolution on this issue? Is Cy or Imagery FIT going to take the lead?

ODOT asked Cy to look into it before Randall. Cy asked one of his interns to do a comparison of the Esri world imagery vs. Bing imagery. The result was that the high resolution imagery was very similar between the two basemap services. The main difference is at a medium scale (1:50K): Bing imagery is clearer and color enhanced. ODOT’s use was going to be at larger scales so Cy thought that Esri’s world imagery service would suffice.

Randall passed on the Microsoft agreement/pricing info to Cy. Cy is now taking the lead to see if we (Oregon) can get a discount.

Bing is not just straight imagery (it’s aeriels plus labels). Sim was using all of the Bing imagery but has since switched out to the Esri services. Esri has just updated their imagery service. This is a potential

alternative to Bing services. Cy is requesting GPL to use the ESRI service and test it out and see if it will work and get back to him soon.

Bob asked if the licensing will allow us to use the Bing imagery as a service that we can serve in addition to desktop use. **A**: Cy will look into this via the contracting piece.