



Attendees: Dan Linscheid, Yamhill County  
Marc Thomas, FGDC  
Cy Smith, GEO  
Darlene Gowen, ODOT  
Brent Blair, BLM  
Byron Clayton, BLM  
Orrin Frederick, BLM  
Emmor Nile, ODF  
Mike Schuft, ODF  
Gail Ewart, GEO (scribe)

### Stewardship Model Discussion

Gail recapped prior meeting's discussion and conclusion for the benefit of folks who weren't at the first meeting: Data sources (custodians) are county surveyors, DOR and BLM (on federal lands); BLM is willing to be horizontal steward to integrate PLSS data statewide. Data sources/custodians will resolve gaps and overlaps and provide resolution to BLM (as horizontal integrator).

Our discussion concerns only the spatial expression of the underlying "official" records.

### OACES presentation June 14

We want to achieve general agreement regarding stewardship of PLSS data at this conference. It was suggested that Marc present 5 minutes on PLSS to align all minds as to precisely what we are talking about, then Orrin could initiate discussion on proposed model. Gail could facilitate when or if necessary.

All PLSS data would be funneled to the appropriate county surveyor. The county surveyors will each provide their data to BLM for statewide integration.

Based on session discussion, we'll return to address their group in the fall with an implementation strategy.

Strategy: Once County Surveyors are on board, approach OGISA, ORMAP Tech Group and the Task Force on Surveying/GIS/Mapping.

Dan reminded us that GIS folks in some counties need to work with their surveyors in order to make everything work right.

Dan pointed out that a deleted statute required 1 tie-in for each survey; it would be highly desirable to require two tie-ins for certain surveys and none for others.

**Action:** Marc, Orrin and Gail will work out the details of the presentation.

### PLSS Portal

Gail provided limited comments about user experience. No one else had feedback; some had trouble logging in.

**Action:** Review the demo site with Arizona data at <http://demo.premierdata.com/projects/stateGCDB>. The login and password is **cadnsdi**. This standard is beginning to be implemented in several states, including ours, so BLM would like to hear as many comments as possible.

### Public Lands Ownership

Emmor stated that BLM's Land Line Inventory (LLI) is the same as public lands; however, BLM says LLI contains mostly federal lands and some recreational lands from a variety of jurisdictions.

When there appears to be differing opinions as to which parcels are "public lands," federal, state, county or local, it helps to have the legal descriptions for the parcels and especially the real estate instruments (deeds, court orders, etc.) to resolve the ownership/status of the parcels. BLM can only verify the status of federal lands for LLI using their own records.

Challenges: LLI lags in ownership info due to a lengthy process to resolve gaps and overlaps.

BLM could integrate federal and state lands (and maybe more public lands).  
County and city properties are in ORMAP.  
State (DAS-Facilities) will have a good inventory of state lands within a year or so.

The DAS and BLM efforts need to be integrated.  
There is a process to update PLSS based on data from another agency.

**Action:** Emmor and Orrin will arrange meeting to discuss getting public lands into LLI.

ORMAP Tech Group should lead the discussion on how to integrate public and private lands. Brent reminded us that the PLSS piece has to be done first.

### PLSS Standard

**Action:** All the usual issues need to be spelled out in a PLSS standard. All agreed that it makes sense for this to be part of Oregon's Cadastral Standard, which is currently undergoing revision. The ORMAP group could assist with this standard.

CADNSDI product is in geographic, decimal degrees

**Next Meeting:** Probably some time in July. We'll know more after the discussion at OACES in June.