

Notes

Administrative Boundaries FIT Planning Workgroup



Meeting Date: January 8, 2014
Time: 10-noon
Location: DLCD, Salem

Attendees: Robert Mansolillo, DLCD
Bill Clingman, LCOG*
Katherine Daniels, DLCD
Angela Lazarean, DLCD
Bob DenOuden, DAS/GEO
Gail Ewart, DLCD
Alex Bettinardi, ODOT
Jason Yaich, City of Corvallis*
Ryan McKinnis, ODOT
Chad Crockett, ODOT/FIT Chair
*via phone

Gail convened Meeting 8 of the Planning Workgroup, and we all introduced ourselves. Ryan joined the ODOT team as a GIS analyst and modeler. There were no additions or changes suggested to the draft agenda, so we will use the draft agenda as is.

Zoning – Results of modifications & status

Robert made the changes to the zoning classes and the data decided on during our previous meeting and clarified in subsequent conversations. We reviewed the results, and everyone was comfortable with the changes and the overall result. A new status map is posted on the GEO website. Robert is working with GEO on publishing two versions, gov2gov and for the public. Accessing the gov2gov version (with the local zoning code) will require a login.

Action: **Gail and Robert** will reconcile the guidance relating to the Combo classes, which are designed to be temporary “buckets.” **Robert** will send Corvallis crosswalk to Jason. **Robert** will announce when the services are available.

Next Steps

ODOT will test the results with its transportation growth projection model. Robert will continue to add data as it and the crosswalks are made available. We are still working on effective metadata compilation but have a low-tech solution in place now. A fresh wave of outreach through the regional reps is in the works to fill in the rest of the map. There are limited funds available to update and develop local government digital data.

Action: **Alex** and Ryan will report on their experience using the new data with the transportation model at our next meeting.

Stewardship

Since there are times when zoning boundaries change but do not come through the land use planning program, what are some ways we can keep all the boundaries current? Remapping occurs regularly, and people could tell one of us. Better yet, they could join the workgroup. Katherine proposes that planning grants could be scoped to include zoning data. That would encourage any local government that declines to share its data.

Action: **Katherine** will pursue the potential of tying data sharing with grants, with help from **Robert and Gail**.

Zoning – extension of Administrative Boundary Standard

Gail drafted an extension to the existing umbrella standard for all of the administrative boundaries. This design allows us to customize to the particular element at hand. In the case of zoning, we made changes to the data model and added the zoning classifications. We also tailored the data dictionary and references. Discussion followed, resulting in the following suggestions for changes to the draft extension: remove table of county codes and add verbiage explaining the purpose of the Combo categories in the zoning classification list.

Action: **Gail** will make the changes and forward to GEO for posting. This will be on the Standards Forum agenda in February.

What's Next?

Gail referred to a Framework database report still posted on the Admin Bnds webpage that lists all the elements in this FIT. The Planning cluster is on pages 3-4. Urban Growth Boundaries are under DLCDD stewardship. That's the only one with the Very High priority. All the others now are marked as low priority, but this prioritization was done several years ago and we can make adjustments.

Many wanted to tackle comprehensive plan maps next. Gail explained that in many places there is only one GIS layer for both zoning and comp plans. The only difference is an attribute that contains the planning designation. Thus, we have many of the comp plan maps already.

Council of Governments boundaries are already 100 percent complete. (But are they integrated in a statewide Framework?)

Development districts and downtown districts are taxing districts, so Revenue collects those.

Enterprise zone boundaries should be moved to agency/program boundary cluster. Business Oregon would be the best steward.

Greenways is too generic; it is also a specific overlay zone. There is only one greenway: the Willamette River Greenway. Correct the name at least. Maybe better to group with the overlay zone element. What do you think?

MPO boundaries are 100 percent complete and stewarded by ODOT. Gail observed that she couldn't find these after searching Framework on the clearinghouse. Is it out there? Chad thought they were.

Add overlay zones to the list. Past discussions have identified this as a high priority. Mapping overlay zones is complex. Gail thought they could be a feature set in the same geodatabase as the zoning and comprehensive plan maps, but others thought there might be additional challenges. This needs more study.

Not sure what to do with neighborhood associations. This brought up a general concern about level of effort. This group would like an assessment of level of effort to help set priorities.

Rename “places” to “unincorporated communities” since places are just one of four different types of unincorporated communities. Some have official boundaries and some do not. Priority should be high. DLCD is the logical steward.

There are only a few urban reserve areas, so the level of effort would be fairly light. The priority should be high. DLCD is the logical steward.

Zoning is the last on the list and is well underway. However, the priority should be changed to high.

Summary of what's next discussion:

1. Comp plans
2. Urban reserve areas
3. Unincorporated communities

Action: **Robert** will contact local governments to determine which ones are the same and also determine whether we already have the comp plan designation attribute in the data they are already sharing. **Robert and the outreach team** will also be asking this of local governments contributing for the first time. **Gail** will propose that enterprise zones be moved to another cluster, that greenways be corrected or included with overlay zones, and that priority changes be incorporated per workgroup consensus at the upcoming Administrative Boundary FIT meeting. **Robert** will check the existing zoning data to see if urban reserve areas are included. **All: Contribute to priorities and re/grouping suggestions recited above.**

Chad indicated that his plan for the February FIT meeting was to look over all the elements and review/re-set priorities. Our group is a bit ahead of the others.

The meeting was adjourned.