

# RECAP

## Oregon Geographic Information Council



**Meeting Date:** June 21, 2017

**Time:** 10:30am - Noon

**Location:** 155 Cottage St., Conference Room A

**Attendees:** Acting Chair: Cy Smith, GEO; Paul Rossow, PUC; Dean Anderson, Polk County; Arron Heriford, DHS/OHA; Dennis Ruth, OMD; Ken Smith, OWRD; Jed Roberts, DGMI; Jerri Bohard, ODOT; Mary French, DSL; Randy Sounhein, DSL; Phil Smith, ODOT; Eric Hiebenthal, BLM; Theresa Burcsu, GEO; Brady Callahan, OPRD

### Introductions & Announcements & Approval of Minutes

- Meeting was called to order by Acting Chair, Cy Smith at 10:30am.
- Minutes from March meeting were approved.
- No additions to the agenda were made or requested. No announcements made.

### GPL Report – Phil Smith

GPL recently received a presentation from the State Chief Financial Office on the 3D mapping project they've been working on for a couple years now. They are in the process of capturing 3D data for all state-owned and leased buildings in an effort to better manage those properties from a strategic perspective. This is separate from the operations and management aspect of day to day property management. Data is being captured at the cube/room level within buildings. Ultimately, the data will be available to building occupants to keep it updated.

GPL had a day of Esri workshops in Portland last month. There were two workshops, the first being an ArcGIS Enterprise workshop, and the second being a workshop on Survey 123. The ArcGIS Enterprise workshop looked at ArcGIS 10.5 and the integration of Esri products that is occurring on the server side. The Survey 123 workshop was an introduction to one of Esri's field data collection tools, something similar to Survey Monkey, but with geospatial content, providing an opportunity to get geospatial content out to a broad audience with varied skill levels, for things such as asset inventories.

At the GPL meeting last week, Esri provided a 30 minute overview of ArcGIS Pro and how it is being developed. No GPL meeting in July, typical summer break. August GPL will focus on FIT funding review.

### Framework Data Report – Theresa Burcsu

Next Framework Forum will be held in September at Portland Metro. No FIT proposals have been received at this point, but deadline hasn't passed. Metadata standard underwent minor revisions. LCOG has completed their work to update the metadata creation tool, which includes a means to validate metadata quickly.

At the last OGIC meeting, the Council discussed the possibility of limiting the acceptable amount for indirect costs associated with FIT funding proposals. Theresa went through several approaches that had been discussed at that meeting and since in conversations she's had with various agencies and

organizations. She put together a draft OGIC policy on acceptable indirect costs. The policy laid out two possible approaches: either eliminate indirect costs or itemize them and include them as part of the direct costs as like kind in a FIT funding proposal. The draft policy was that OGIC set a maximum amount of like kind costs in a proposal. It was also stated that the Council could possibly make exceptions to its policy, even if the policy says the Council won't accept indirect costs.

Cy mentioned that we have sometimes paid indirect costs to various organizations, like universities. Jerri pointed out that there seems to be a difference between indirect costs when an organization is contracted versus if that same organization is seeking grant funding. Jed talked about DGMI having a policy regarding indirect costs for federal projects, such as with USGS. They may take the path of including indirect costs as like kind in FIT funding proposals going forward.

Consensus of the Council was that the policy should be that it doesn't pay indirect costs, and that any such costs should be included as like kind services, if necessary to include them at all. There could be some accommodation made on a case by case basis, but the intent of the Council is generally not to make exceptions. Theresa will finalize the draft policy and the Council will be asked to vote in the interim by email. Theresa will also let all FIT proposers know about this direction right away.

### **Remotely Sensed Data RFP – Brady Callahan**

Brady thanked OGIC for appropriating \$100K of FIT funding in advance to move the project forward. Contract with vendor should be wrapped in the next week or so. Weren't able to raise enough funds to do full state at one foot resolution, so we're flying east side this year, and west side next year.

We'll start very soon building partnerships for west side collection, with intent to have a contract in place and begin flying in early June. Majority of east side funds are from State agencies, hoping for significant funding from locals and feds for west side.

Contract includes options for high resolution imagery (one foot, six inch, three inch), phodar, hyperspectral imagery, bathymetric lidar, and standard lidar. Contract can be used by other FIT teams for products beyond high resolution imagery. Metro has expressed interest in six and three inch imagery. The procurement is set up as a price agreement, rather than a contract, but the buyer's guide will indicate that OSCIO will be the only agency that can purchase from the price agreement. The FIT leads will determine what should be purchased. Dean indicated that it is important for local governments to be able to see what the price agreement looks like. Jerri asked about the communication plan for the price agreement. The project is a Stage Gate project and there is a communication plan, which GEO and Imagery FIT will be implementing. This will include seeking help from agencies and organizations in getting the word out to potential participants. Dean mentioned that the local ORURISA Sections would be a good communication venue for this.

Cy talked a bit about the hosting and storage aspect of the project. The State will own the data from this project. The vendor will provide a cloud hosting solution and we will consume the data in a manner that will be transparent to the user. There will be no change from the user perspective. But we will have greater flexibility in adding data, both statewide and from local, smaller projects purchased using this price agreement.

### **Data Sharing Legislative Concept – Sean McSpaden**

Cy has contacted the Governor's Executive Appointments Director and she is excited to get started. He has prepared a letter to be signed by the State CIO soliciting a nomination from AOC, LOC,

SDAO, OSACA, etc. He asked the group for suggestions on how to solicit nominations from the various state agency groups. The Governor's wants a nominee from each of the groups, and wants considerable diversity. The meetings will likely be moved around.

The new Council will need to form a Charter, reevaluate what Framework data should be, devise a funding model to eliminate fees that public bodies charge each other for Framework data, and approve a budget for the 2019 biennium related to increased data sharing. Questions were asked about the time commitment for future OGIC members; the answer was undetermined at this point. Questions were asked about when the new Council would begin meeting; again, the answer was undetermined, as no one knows how long the appointment process will take.

The Council expressed a desire to have a meeting in September to complete the FIT funding process and get projects moving. The legislation doesn't indicate that OSCIO has to ask groups of agencies for nominations. It could be more directed than that, and that may be necessary in order to get the new Council seated in a timely manner.

The funding model was discussed by a work group of the Stakeholders as the bill was being developed. Cy mentioned that the most promising of the ideas from that discussion was the notion of seeking a very tiny portion of existing fees that require geospatial Framework data to be administered. A cursory look at the amount generated by such fees indicates that they generate about \$750M/year. There would be a lot of political work to make such an approach happen.

All the work mentioned above has to be done between now and July 2018. GEO has started working on the needed resources and technology necessary to implement the data sharing bill. But there's a lot of work to be done by the new OGIC. Jerri mentioned that legislative concepts for the 2019 session have to be done very early, as well, so placeholders may be necessary.

Meeting adjourned at 11:40am.

**Next Meeting**

September 13, 2017, 10:30am - Noon  
*Conference Room A, Executive Office Building  
155 Cottage St. NE, Salem, OR 97301*