

# RECAP

## Meeting Name

**Meeting Date:** June 18, 2014

**Time:** 10:30am - Noon

**Location:** 155 Cottage St., Conference Room A

**Attendees:** Cy Smith (DAS~GEO), Rachel Smith (DOGAMI), Jim Meacham (UofO-OUS), Eric Brandt (LCOG), Dennis Ruth (OMD), Dave Ringeisen (ODOT), Gail Ewart (DLCD), Brady Callahan (OPRD), Janine Salwasser (OSU-INR), Rick Schack (DOR), Gary Gipson (OED), Michael Gurley (OEM), Alex Pettit (DAS~CIO)

CIO Staff: Pamela Larsen, Bob DenOuden (DAS~GEO), Paul Durgin (DAS~GEO)

### Introductions & Announcements & Approval of Minutes – Dugan Petty

- Meeting was called to order by Acting Chair, Cy Smith at 10:32am.
- E-FIT agenda items to be delayed, E-FIT Chair not present, Bob gave a brief report. Cy mentioned that it will be important to support the POP for Lidar funding, in connection with the E-FIT Lidar plan. It will likely be part of a broader natural resources budget request. Some issues were raised with the E-FIT Charter that will need to be addressed.
- New State CIO, Alex Pettit, will Chair the next meeting.
- USGS OGIC rep Sheri Schneider has retired. USGS won't be active on OGIC now, we need another federal member...perhaps USFS or NRCS.
- Recorder didn't work at the March 2013 meeting, so please review the notes carefully.
- Action item to complete the Data Sharing legislative concept and submit to DAS, done.

### GPL Report – Rachel Smith

Work group revised Cartographic Guidelines, out for public review, to be submitted to OGIC in September. GPL discussed updating statewide datums and projections based on national activities, will continue to discuss at future meetings before making any recommendations to OGIC. EPSG projections updates/revisions awaiting EPSG committee approval, after some comments/questions from committee were addressed. Esri conducted a workshop on June 3, with ODF's assistance and equipment, with 24 attendees at no charge, to learn about the Collector application. Some further discussion about possible changes to datum and projection.

### Statewide Zoning Project – Gail Ewart

The planning work group organized under Administrative Boundaries FIT in May 2012. Portland Metro had been working on a successful zoning project that was used as a model for the statewide project. Tested zoning classifications in the Willamette Valley in preparation for development of a zoning standard. ODOT was looking at transportation growth modeling effort and needs zoning data to feed a part of their model and decided to help with the statewide zoning project. Work group has been doing a crosswalk to translate existing local zoning classifications to a statewide standard classification. AOC has helped with notifications about the project within their publications. The local zoning codes are being shared between government agencies. The statewide codes are shared publicly, the local codes are stripped out and must be requested from each local government

if needed. The western part of the state is almost complete, the eastern part is mostly incomplete. Dave Snader from GEO in Bend has been working with local governments to communicate about the project and request their participation. Gail gave a presentation, posted [here](#), that includes examples of the data and discusses the project. Gail also talked about the Administrative Boundaries Standard extension related to zoning classifications. There are a couple of slides in the presentation about this extension. The zoning data collected so far is available from the Spatial Data Library. Question was asked about whether a user would need to go to local zoning codes or could use the statewide codes. If user is only working within one jurisdiction, local codes might be best, but if working across jurisdictional boundaries, the statewide data set should be used. Cy mentioned that the really important thing about this project is that the local governments have participated in this project to agree on the translations of their codes to a statewide classification, which is a key aspect of the Framework concept. Some discussion around zoning exceptions, which are captured in the statewide data if they exist at the local level. Concern expressed about the update cycle for zoning and ensuring that it is addressed.

### **2015-17 navigatOR POP Draft – Cy Smith**

The navigatOR budget package that was submitted several times in the past, based on an ROI and Implementation Plan completed by OGIC in 2006 and updated in 2009, was submitted again this year. The total was reduced to \$6.1M. Cy reviewed the POP details. The POP is posted [here](#). Some coordination work has been done with other agencies, in particular natural resource agencies that are submitting their own POPs, to ensure there's no duplication that will be perceived by the Legislature. Some of the funding in those other POPs was removed in favor of funds in the navigatOR POP. Some adjustments were made in the data development costs in the POP, based on discussions with FIT Chairs and others regarding progress made on certain data sets over the last few years and needs that have arisen among multiple agencies. Cy went through some slides to help explain the POP, posted [here](#). He pointed specifically to the [navigatOR funding document](#). Comment made about validating the data funding aspect of the POP over the next few months with the FIT teams if the POP moves forward. DAS pushing back on the number of new positions and the total funding requested. INR very supportive of this POP, to take geospatial coordination and use to the next level in Oregon government. An important aspect of this proposal is to provide better access to geospatial data from multiple sensors and sources. That will help get legislators on board. Comment made about needing to get ready to spend the money effectively in case we get it. Comment made about watching for aspects in other POPs that will be duplicative with this POP and trying to get those aspects coordinated with this. We can ask the State CIO to request this kind of information from other agencies that are putting POPs forward.

### **Water Wells Standard – Bob Denouden**

Motion and second made to endorse the Water Wells Standard as submitted. Motion was approved.

### **Administrative Boundaries Standard – Bob Denouden**

Motion and second made to endorse the Administrative Boundaries Standard extension for zoning, as submitted. Motion was approved.

### **Data Sharing Legislative Concept**

Cy modified the [draft legislative concept](#) to put it in the form of a bill and submitted it to the DAS Legislative Liaison for approval. Time frame for further action is unclear at this point. Question about whether Universities and federal agencies are included in the data sharing legislation. We may need to revise the legislation to accommodate this, to incorporate agreements made between those entities and other government agencies that will protect the data appropriately. Cy suggested

that OGIC should delay endorsement of the draft concept until a few other changes have been made, including accommodation of federal and University data sharing, and socializing the bill with AOC and LOC. Endorsement by OGIC in September should be sufficient to meet deadlines for submittal to the Legislature. Last time, DAS wouldn't carry the bill and didn't say so until November, at which time it was too late to find any other sponsor.

Meeting adjourned at 12:00pm.

**Next meeting:**

March 18, 2015

10:30am - Noon

155 Cottage St. Conference Room A

DRAFT