

# RECAP

## Meeting Name

**Meeting Date:** March 19, 2014

**Time:** 10:30am - Noon

**Location:** 155 Cottage St., Conference Room B

**Attendees:** Cy Smith (DAS~GEO), Diana Walker (ODA), Rachel Smith (DOGAMI), Jim Meacham (UofO-OUS), Eric Brandt (LCOG), Dennis Ruth (OMD), Cindy Lou McDonald (BLM), Dean Anderson (Polk Cnty), Vicki McConnell (DOGAMI), Brady Callahan (OPRD), Daniel Stoelb (OEM), Lee Otis (ESRI)

CIO Staff: Bob DenOuden (DAS~GEO)

### Introductions & Announcements & Approval of Minutes – Cy Smith

- Meeting was called to order by Acting Chair, Cy Smith at 10:30am.
- Minutes from December meeting approved, with changes submitted earlier.
- New State CIO, Alex Pettit, will Chair the next meeting.
- Add to Agenda: ArcGIS Online governance issues

### GPL Report – Rachel Smith

With the start of the new year we revised our standing agenda by adding two informative items: a monthly report from Esri on software updates, and a lidar program update from DOGAMI.

We have begun to discuss the annual state Esri user group meeting for 2014 and what it might entail. It is currently unscheduled.

We reviewed the ArcGIS Online (AGOL) Governance Team recommendations and agreed to move them forward to PAC and OGIC. There are still several topics regarding AGOL that need further discussion. Cy is the lead on this effort.

Bob Denouden brought the OGIC comments on the Map Element Standard back to GPL for review. After some discussion, GPL would like to make this document a “Cartographic Display Best Practices” document instead of a standard. A GPL workgroup is meeting today to work on this document.

### Framework Data Program Evaluation – Bob Denouden

The Framework Coordinator, Bob Denouden, presented the results of the Framework Data Program Evaluation. The presentation covered the Framework concept, the history of the program, the current status of the data themes, and what we need to do going forward. Issues about past prioritization of data elements and needed improvement of metadata were discussed. One of the Framework goals is to have all 251 Framework elements available on multiple websites, particularly the Spatial Data Library at Oregon Explorer and the GEO website. It was noted that the geospatial thesaurus is useful for tagging data and should be made more prominent, making more people aware of it. <http://www.oregon.gov/DAS/CIO/GEO/docs/fit/Framework%20Status%20April%202014.pdf>

## **ArcGIS Online (AGOL) Governance – Cy Smith**

The Esri ELA provides unlimited AGOL user seats and 30,000 credits per year for a total of 60,000 credits. AGOL user seats can be given out or sold to local governments, as well as state agencies. GEO convened a small Governance Team of 5-7 people that have begun putting together recommendations about AGOL governance issues. The AGOL Governance Team will provide those recommendations back to GPL, PAC and OGIC. They met for the first time January 3, 2014 and presented recommendations on charge back for AGOL user seats and distribution to local governments.

Their recommendations are to charge \$50/seat per year for state agencies user seats requested after October 1, 2013, and to charge the same amount for local government user seats unless the local government is willing to sign a data sharing agreement for sharing any and all Framework data they create. There was some discussion by the Council regarding credit usage and how excessive credit usage would be handled, how the charge back rate was determined, and a few other issues. GPL and PAC endorsed the Governance Team recommendations, and the Council voted to approve them.

## **Data Sharing Legislative Discussion - Cy**

Cy presented a revised draft of the legislative concept on data sharing. There was discussion about the benefits of data sharing and Dean reminded us of a data sharing session facilitated by Scott Riordan a number of years ago in which key data sharing benefits were defined.

A number of suggestions were made for revising the draft legislative concept, including: adding the concept of web-based data services to the central repository language; agreeing on appropriate frequency of updates with data providers, not simply based on user needs; incorporate language related to existing local government disclaimers; add language related to inclusion of metadata for all shared data; eliminate the detailed language related to critical infrastructure data; include specific references related to statutory exemptions for privacy, confidentiality, and intellectual property.

There was some discussion regarding implementation of data sharing legislation, specifically related to the fact that OGIC does not have statutory authority, the definition of Framework data is not statutory language, and the State CIO is Chair of OGIC, all of which presents limitations to the potential passage of legislation and its possible implementation. There remain issues to be resolved in the draft legislative concept related to liability and the inclusion or exclusion of universities. The draft is in the queue as a legislative concept for the 2015 session.

## **Address Standard Adoption – Bob DenOuden**

The Framework Implementation Team recommends the FGDC Thoroughfare, Landmark and Postal Address Standard for endorsement by OGIC. The standard has been reviewed and recommended by the Oregon geospatial user community through the Framework standards development process. OGIC voted to endorse this standard. It was indicated that the National Emergency Numbering Association CLDXF addressing standard that is closely aligned with the FGDC addressing standard will be taken up very soon by FIT, with the intent of recommending and endorsing it.

Meeting adjourned at 12:00pm.

### **Next meeting:**

June 18, 2014

10:30am – Noon

155 Cottage St., Conference Room A