

03-21-07

OGIC Meeting Minutes

Attendees: Dean Anderson, Jane Bacchieri, Ben Berry, Malavika Bishop, Brady Callahan, Bob DeVyldere, Gail Ewart, Gary Gipson, Karen Gregory, Laer Haider, Mark Kinslow, John Lilly, Jim Meacham, Dugan Petty, Michael Schuft, Chris Shirley, Graham Slater, Cy Smith and Diana Walker

Data Sharing Partnership – Cy Smith & Dean Anderson

A series of meetings were held around the State to resolve the data sharing issues and there were 3 impediments that arose:

1. Liability & Risk
2. Privacy & Confidentiality
3. Sustainable funding

Dennis Luke, Deschutes County Commissioner, proposed a legislative work group that would be initiated by a legislative committee. The Data Sharing Task Force came up with a resolution in support of a legislative work group, and it's been signed by the AOC Board and the County Assessors Association. After this proposal is approved, Cy will go talk to Mike MacArthur and see what Mike thinks the best approach would be and which committee to ask. Cy would like to get the work group established this session. The Task Force has also proposed a draft chart for the legislative work group. The draft charter proposed that the work group also provide recommendations on an appropriate governance structure for managing the data sharing partnership on an ongoing basis. The work group will go away, but we need to have an ongoing place to get together and manage issues on a regular basis. A recommendation of equitable membership among all the sectors of the community was put into the charter. The membership should have an equal number of state, city, county and special district members. We also asked for an ex officio member from tribal and one from the federal government. ODOT expressed support for this next level of collaboration with the legislative work group. Revenue also expressed support for this project.

Motion: OGIC supports the resolution for a legislative work group adopted by the Association of Oregon Counties.

Slight amendment to motion: The Association of Oregon County Assessors approved this and it was based on their approval that AOC approved this.

Move that OGIC approve the resolution in support of establishing a data sharing partnership legislative work group that has been endorsed by OSACA and the Association of Oregon Counties.

Move was seconded, all were in favor, none opposed.

GIS Software Standard

OGIC asked Cy to take the proposal of developing an Oregon GIS Software Standard to the Policy Advisory Committee and let them begin the stakeholder advisory work that needs to be done as part of the Administrative Rule Process. On March 14th, the Policy Advisory Committee meeting was held to discuss this. The 3 items that Cy was given to work on by the PAC are:

1. DAS needs to prepare and firm up the technical justification for adopting ESRI as the state GIS software standard. A consultant will work for a few hours on this to help us firm this up.
2. Firm up the economic justification – Cy will talk to the city of Portland because they have an enterprise license for ESRI software as a result of establishing ESRI as their city-wide standard.
3. Draft the rule. EISPD will work on drafting the rule. A grandfather clause will be included in that rule. Some of the exceptions to be included would be for existing licenses, research at academic institutions, existing investments and an exception for business cases that justify using a different software.

DOJ is going to help us figure out who grants the exception and what the criteria are. The rule writing has to be through DAS. Here is the process we are looking at to adopt the ESRI Software Standard:

1. work out the justifications and rule writing complexity
2. have a finding
3. conduct a stakeholder advisory group meeting to work through issues and get their understanding and comments
4. propagate a rule
5. hold a public hearing on the rule.

We will take the technical and economic justification and the draft rule to the CIOC before we take it to OGIC. The exception has not yet been written. Whatever you use on the desktop is fine as long as you are not sharing information. If the person using that software on the desktop shares information with others or is in a server environment, that's where you need to be looking at ESRI software. If we get a draft ready to bring back to OGIC before the next regularly scheduled meeting, we will call a special meeting. The purpose of this meeting would be to get input and feedback from OGIC. The products the Council will review would be the finding documents that would be refined and then the proposed rulemaking.

navigatOR – Dugan Petty

POP 454, part of the EISPD/DAS budget requested \$5M from the General Fund. \$500,000 of this \$5M was to go to Oregon Explorer. We went into our Ways and Means hearing, presented that and had a fair discussion around navigatOR. The co-chairs of Ways and Means were concerned about that expenditure coming out of general fund and lightened the overall budget by the navigatOR project. Even though navigatOR got favorable responses, we didn't get it. This doesn't mean that navigatOR is something that is not viable in the eyes of the legislature; it can be viable, just not this budget cycle. There was a move towards the end, driven by Senator Johnson, to have us come back and identify a smaller number we could use for planning purposes to position ourselves to bring navigatOR back forward next session. We gave them a number to hire some people to go forward with the project next summer and we didn't get funding for that. The budget isn't done yet and there is still some activity in place. We need to be coordinating activities before we get into a legislative session and have the committee start asking questions and getting confused. That is what this OGIC group is supposed to be about and we haven't been as diligent on this as we have been in the past. There are a lot of things going on, but this is something we should pay attention to next session. Expect to

hear more discussion over the next year about doing more to coordinate our activities, make sure we aren't duplicating effort and that we are collaborating where we can – if we see things that make sense to put together outside this room, we can get together and discuss it. We have to find out about it first and that's what this table ought to be for. BAM is the source to go through to get information on what types of bills or POPs the legislators might be seeing in budgets relating to information systems. BAM is trying to sort on GIS-related projects so that we can understand what they are and we can talk about relationships between projects and how they could fit together. The W&M Co-chairs budget comes out tomorrow. That is a starting point. It doesn't look like anything will go forward this time with navigatOR, but Senator Johnson's suggestion of having something over the interim, something to report back on in 2008 that keeps the subject fresh and to keep from starting over at the very base again is something to consider advocating. Cy talked about an email string to which he had been copied in which the Co-chairs had asked for some clarification and assurance that the large number of natural resource projects they were seeing were not duplicative. A number of natural resource agencies had responded to that email string with information about their GIS projects and the inquiry was being conducted by Jane Bacchierri from the Governor's Natural Resource Office. Jane said she will send the email out in its final form very soon to the Co-chairs and the responding agencies.

No New Business

Consent Agenda

Data Standards Update Process – **Adopted**

Minutes – **Adopted**

2007-2008 Meeting schedule - **Adopted**

ODOT - Proposed topic for June OGIC meeting:

The Transportation Framework Implementation Team (T-FIT) would like an opportunity to demonstrate the utility of Oregon's first comprehensive Transportation Road Centerline based on the Oregon Road Centerline Data Standard.

ODOT would like to present a short demonstration of Oregon's first Statewide Transportation Framework and host a brief discussion of issues discovered in building the road transportation layer.