

OGIC

6/21/06

2:18

Attendees:

Mike Zanon, Chair (IRMD)	Gary Gipson (Employment)
Kris Kautz, Deputy Director (DAS)	Mark Darienzo (DLCD)
Duane Dippon (BLM)	David Mather (GEO)
Mike Shuft (ODF)	Don Lewis (DOGAMI)
Karen Gregory (DOR)	David Ringeisen (ODOT)
Bob Swank (LCOG)	Gail Ewart (GEO)
Dean Anderson (OGISA – Polk County)	Laer Haider (DHS)
Jim Meecham (OUS)	Mark Kinslow (DOR)
Cy Smith (GEO)	Ken Bierly (OWEB)
Brady Callahan (Parks & Recreation)	Pete Crosswell (PlanGraphics)
Craig Greenleaf (ODOT)	

GIS Utility

Cy asked Lindsay to be a guest and speak about navigatOR and Data Sharing. We have been working those two things concurrently. Kris Kautz is here to speak on his behalf.

Kris – DAS has decided not to include the POP in the DAS budget for \$5 million at this time. After discussions with Exec staff, Lindsay and Kris don't believe DAS has the credibility to pull a \$5 million general fund project over the hill at this time. Lindsay and Kris in meeting with Cy recognize the good work being done and don't want to close the door on the project, but it is not a good time to request Lottery and GF dollars.

Ken - Is there any way to work the Legislature in reference to build recognition for future Biennium investment?

Kris – That process has already begun with Cy's presentation to JLCIMT yesterday. Need to get creative to leverage Federal funds which will assist in possible approval of the next POP.

Cy – Get concrete executed signed agreements from interested parties. Massachusetts used capital funding for their project.

Kris – Oregon is running up against limitation cap on COP Certificate of Participation. The new state mental hospital is on the table and is taking up some of the flexibility of the program. How are you going to pay off the debt on the back end?

Cy – We're only talking about \$5M, not the full \$30M that's the state's share, nor the \$183M estimated cost of developing navigatOR. Use accounting systems we have now to actually track the savings that are accumulated and compare to the business case. No one has ever tracked the savings to prove a business case.

Second approach, provide value added services and subscriptions to the integrated data to the private sector. We are creating an asset with value - we need to figure out how to extract the value out of it and use it to pay back the debt.

Kris – If you're only talking about \$5M for '07-'09, that's probably doable within the COP limitation. Let me know if that's what you want to pursue and I'll help you figure out how to do it.

Karen – Get data sharing working statewide / countywide and then have data to make available and perhaps raise the limitation to \$5 million; in some areas, you can leverage the money you have.

Dean - Concentrate on getting Data Sharing and Governance in place until next legislative session. Easier to get money when you have all the machinery in place.

Cy – Funding usually comes from several areas utilizing formal contracts. No government uses just one form of funding.

JLCIMT Presentation

The navigatOR presentation was given to JLCIMT. They had some questions:

Rep Kropf

1. Who wrote the Business Case?
2. Did you already release the RFP - Project phase?
3. What other states have similar projects and what were their cost projections compared to yours? How did other states pay for the project?

Senator Nelson

Will OWIN transmit voice or Data information?

Intent to transmit data where available in Metro areas and along I5.

Rep. Dingfelder – Geographer

This information would be useful to her company and she agreed that the information was useful and selling subscriptions would be a good way to pay for the project.

She asked about Governance and data development and metadata.

Senator Anderson

Funding?

How will this integrate with local programs?

This will not be directed to reproduce or duplicate other programs or the functionality of them. It is about getting better data and pushing functionality out to the rest of the state.

Framework Data Report

Thirteen of 14 thematic groups are at work- Utilities will begin later.

Agreements signed for about ½ the data development efforts that were funded by OGIC. We continue to process the rest.

Standards Forum in Eugene on June 28th

Diverse agenda including:

Standards on administrative boundaries for Cadastral/Wetlands. Those are on track to be ready to be approved and then forwarded to OGIC for endorsement.

Poster section – featuring framework successes – Hydro, Orthophotography, Road centerlines, Tax lots etc. will have posters to showcase progress made.

Land use/land cover discussion group to be held at Forum – led by Jimmy Kagan.

LIDAR data – Elevation contours refinement discussion group at Forum

Public land survey stewardship meeting at Forum - Data Stewardship agreement with local partners that generate public land survey coordinates.

Project Management framework database will be on GIS website available online and link to for detailed notes. Summary of status of framework layers as project management tool. Each data set is a different layer and contains the following details:

Status

Custodian – data provider

Horizontal Integrator

Status of completion

Work needed

Contact information

Details regarding status of the data set.

Status report on new GEO Enterprise Capabilities

David Mather – Architecture and technical notes

New GEO capabilities that are ready or soon to be ready.

GIS technology platform at SDC-3 new GIS servers with updated programs that will work in Windows environment rather than UNIX.

New setup will eventually give agencies the ability to use most GIS functionality without buying GIS software. It can be viewed in either GIS or CAD format. FME-based data translation software supports vector data only, not raster data.

The data center is still moving equipment – getting out of Oracle and UNIX platforms.

ArcIMS web mapping service adds data set remotely into GIS software. Won't need to keep information stored in house, it will be the live data. Working with ESRI on enterprise geocoder application.

Data Sharing Partnership

Partnerships will make data sharing work.

Propose OGIC statutory authority to administer the navigator program and data sharing

Coordinating committee of the council– state, city, regional, county representatives, chair rotate annually between local government and state and focus on only partnership related activities. Web-based conferences semi-annually for all partners as communication mechanism and to solve problems.

Data usage Guiding Principles – initial partnership agreements would be valid for three years allowing unlimited use of local data by state agencies, limited use of data on public web sites, unlimited use of local data during catastrophic emergencies, redistribution of selected data to federal agencies such as Census and FEMA for specific projects.

Implementation plan / phasing plan

Lindsay said he thought it is the right approach and he will support it.

Obstacles:

Communicate the plan effectively to the whole community and get support before the legislative session in January. This project will be similar to the Taskforce on GIS and surveying, however, there is a lot less time to accomplish the goals.

Comment – Explain the concept you are selling, should be done carefully so that people are not worried about what might happen with their data.

Cy - Meet with AOC during their board of directors meeting with Lindsay to gauge their level of desire to work with this. Money is already set aside to have workshops around the state over the course of the fall.

Ken – Is there a Legislative concept for this session?

Cy – There is no legislative concept in play at the moment. We are looking to get AOC and LOC as partners first. LOC and/or AOC can do a Legislative concept at any time without deadline.

Meeting was adjourned.