

**Oregon Geographic Information Council Meeting  
December 15, 2005  
State Capitol Building, Room 350 - Salem**

**Present:** Dean Anderson, Polk Co.; Duane Dippon, BLM; Mike Freese, DAS/IRMD; Craig Greenleaf, ODOT; Karen Gregory, Revenue; Vicki McConnell, DOGAMI; Jim Meacham, OUS; Bill Penhollow, AOC; Graham Slater, Employment; Cy Smith, DAS/GEO; Bob Swank, LCOG; Nancy Tubbs, USGS; Mitch West, ODEQ; Randy Dana, DLCD; John Lilly, DSL; Shannon Nesemann, OEM; Gary Gipson, Employment; Cathy Iles, DHS; Mark Kinslow, ODF; Doug Terra, OWEB; Larry Harker, AOC; Gillien Duvall, OEM.

**Scribe:** Tracy White, DAS/GEO

**Handouts:** Agenda; Framework Implementation Team's Framework Funding Recommendations for '03-'05.

Agenda Topic	Discussion Summary	Result	Action Req'd Yes/No	Resp. Party
<b>1. Introductions</b>				
<b>2. GIS Utility</b> Cy Smith  <b>a. Status Report</b>	<p>Powerpoint: Exec. Summary of prelim. business case &amp; next steps. Link: <a href="http://www.oregon.gov/DAS/IRMD/GEO/ogic/ogic12-15-2005meeting.shtml">http://www.oregon.gov/DAS/IRMD/GEO/ogic/ogic12-15-2005meeting.shtml</a></p> <p>Currently assumed \$160M/yr spent by State on geospatial data, based on extrapolation of costs from a couple of agencies.</p> <p>Five companies submitted proposals to do Phase 1 work. Team selected PlanGraphics, who will complete business case. The results determine if GIS Utility is a go/no go.</p> <p>Webcast to contacts to train/inform about GIS utility vision and role of Phase I survey.</p> <p>Plan to identify 25-30 contacts at county level, to coordinate/facilitate success of survey effort.</p> <p>Timeline: RFP completed in 2004. Phase 1 survey distribution in Jan. '05. Survey designed to take 30 min. to complete. Preliminary assessment/business case done by March 15, 2005.</p>	Informational. Review / comments	No	
<b>b. Steering committee formation</b>	<p>Need for Phase 1 steering committee to guide business case development. Involve biweekly meetings with consultants between now and March '05. Suggest stakeholder committee include representatives from each sector to be served by Utility. Best if volunteers have expertise in relevant data elements; serve as conduit to distribute info to/from each sector. Would review final draft of documents, not working drafts.</p>	<p>OGIC recommended steering committee charter.</p> <p>Charter is to clearly describe what issues the steering committee needs to address. Charter will drive steering committee nominations.</p>	<p>Cy will send draft charter to OGIC members next week.</p>	<p>Cy Smith</p>
<b>3. Data License</b> Dean Anderson  <b>a. Discussion of work effort</b>	<p>Purpose of data license is to allow local agencies to share data with state agencies, with incremental implementation. Key issue for data suppliers is liability protection. Solution: Data customers need to go to the</p>	<p>Review / comment on direction</p>	<p>Write summary of data sharing rationale.</p>	<p>Dean Anderson</p>

Agenda Topic	Discussion Summary	Result	Action Req'd Yes/No	Resp. Party
	<p>source to get the data.</p> <p>Draft data sharing agreement is based on interpretation of public records law, ORS 192. It uses statutory language. Per Polk County legal counsel, if data is defined as public record, then it should be distributed by a state agency. Bob Haas reviewed San Francisco case study, particularly definitions. The agreement applies to framework data: spatial data, attributes and imagery.</p> <p>Data custodian concept is most problematic, e.g., when county-wide tax lot data is housed at a state agency and an out-of-state customer requests the entire data set, if the state is the custodian, it would have to provide the data at the cost of reproduction. The data sharing license addresses this.</p> <p>License enables data sharing between levels of governments. It could mean each state agency enters a separate agreement with all 36 counties. Possibly one agreement with DAS could serve for all state agencies. Counties could use ORS190 to form agreements with all cities and others within their jurisdiction, so that the data license incorporated the cities and others. Some counties already have these agreements in place.</p>	<p>Discussed need for review by AGs office of draft agreement. Discussed when is best to request AG review. Cy suggested that the OGIC policy advisory group review it before AG review.</p> <p>Urgent need for agreement, because ORMAP and street center line data is held up until agreement is reached.</p> <p>Current public records law may need to be changed to address these concepts.</p>	<p>In it, articulate the objectives, and identify statutes that may need to be changed. Email to OGIC for review before submitting to AG.</p> <p>Contact AGs office / request an attorney be assigned for preliminary opinion on Custodian, data sharing.</p>	
<p><b>4.Framework Data</b> Cy Smith</p> <p><b>a. Standards Forum results</b></p>	<p>Held in Wilsonville, Dec 7, 2004. Three standards discussed. Result: address, geoscience standards approved. No Cadastral standard approved, because the ORMAP tech group needs to rework/resolve final issues. Standards require absolute consensus; the standards have to come from those who build and use the data. A standard is something to work toward, won't be implemented immediately.</p> <p>Also held Hazard and Utilities Framework workshops.</p>	<p>Review / comments</p>	<p>No</p>	
<p><b>b. OGIC-funded projects</b></p>	<p>Handout: Reviewed entire list of priority projects for \$500K.</p> <p>Ortho-imagery project: USDA Farm Service. They conduct annual 1 meter ortho-imagery for the entire state. Local agencies need/prefer ½ meter imagery. USDA is able to provide ½ meter ortho-imagery, plus color infrared, in 3 projections for \$1.5M, a very good deal. Made possible through partnership contributions from various local governments, federal and state agencies, and private utilities.</p>	<p>Review / comments</p>	<p>No</p>	

Agenda Topic	Discussion Summary	Result	Action Req'd Yes/No	Resp. Party
<b>5. GEO Staffing</b> Cy Smith	Positions status: Data Administration position offered and accepted, with January 24, 2004, start date. Business Analyst/Coordination position closed Monday, December 13, 2004. Good candidates. Expect start date in Feb '05 Third position is open. Plan to fill it by mid-March '05.	Informational	No	
<b>6. OSBEELS Legislation:</b>  Task Force on GIS/Surveying/Photogrammetry  <b>a. LC774</b>	In 01-03 legislation session, PLSO submitted legislation that would have required government GIS personnel to work under direct supervision of licensed land surveyor. The GIS community opposed this legislation and formed a Task Force to work with the surveying community on solutions. The Task Force implemented a standard map disclaimer and a GIS Certification Plan, both adopted by OGIC last year. Now, the NCEES Model Survey Law has been revised and contains exclusions for GIS activities. Almost everyone working in GIS in Oregon has been in violation of existing Oregon survey law. The Task Force has been working with OSBEELS and others to adopt legislation that follows the revised Model Law and to include similar GIS exclusions in the OAR. The proposal in the Governor's packet that supports LC774 provides language for GIS exclusions in OAR. Other exclusions include wetland and other natural resource delineations, where the delineation is not a measured location relative to a property boundary, for purpose of legal property description.	OGIC support requested as legislation moves forward.	No	
<b>7. GEO Budget '05-'07 Review</b> Mike Freese	This is place holder budget. This preliminary budget is subject to change based on results of business case process. Breakdown/comparison/review of past three years' budgets. '01-'03, a 7.7% increase, '03-'05, a 5.5.% increase Link: <a href="http://www.oregon.gov/DAS/IRMD/GEO/ogic/ogic12-15-2005meeting.shtml">http://www.oregon.gov/DAS/IRMD/GEO/ogic/ogic12-15-2005meeting.shtml</a>	Informational		
<b>8. Future Agenda/Adjourn</b> All	May need to meet more often during the legislative session and during GIS Utility assessment process.  New meeting schedule announced for 05-06.  2005 Imagery (Informational)	Post new schedule to website and calendar	Yes	Cy