

Oregon Geographic Information Council

June 16, 2004

State Capitol, Hearing Room 350

Present: Cy Smith, DAS/GEO; Mike Freese, DAS; Scott Smith, DAS; Bob Swank, LCOG; Nancy Tubbs, USGS; Dave White, ODOT; Karen Gregory, DOR; Bob DeVyldere, OWRD; Ken Bierly, OWEB; Ray Jaendl, ODA; Clark Seely, ODF; Ken Bays, BLM; Dean Anderson, OGISA; Tim Wood, OPRD; Graham Slater, OED; Duane Dippon, BLM; Jesse Cornett, SOS; Ann Hanus, DSL; Laer Haider, DHS; Randy Dana, DOGAMI; Doug Terra, OWEB

Scribe: Tracy Loutzenhiser, DAS/IRMD

Topic	Discussion Summary	Action Req'd/ Result	Resp. Party
Introductions	Mike Freese served as acting chair for today.		
Geospatial Utility Business Case	<p>Cy made a presentation to summarize the business case. That presentation is posted at http://www.gis.state.or.us/coord/ogic/GIS_Utility_Business_Case.pdf The presentation concludes with proposed next steps to move the business case and the project forward. The details and estimates in the preliminary business case are bound to change as a result of the proposed assessment to be conducted in Phase 1 of the project. The assessment is intended to validate the business case, as well as produce a utility system design and project plan.</p> <p>There were several questions and comments regarding the costs and potential funding for Phases 2 and 3 of the utility development. It is assumed that OGIC would not ask for new funding for this project, but that the funding would instead come from existing funding sources that would, in some cases, need to be redirected in part to support this project. Attachment 5 in the Business Case describes several different types of funding mechanisms that have been used in other jurisdictions to fund similar types of projects. There is money available in the '03-'05 biennium (\$1M), currently allocated to Framework data development by OGIC, that could be spent on Phase 1 of this project. Cy and the Framework Implementation Team recommend spending half of that amount (\$500,000) on Phase 1. Cy presented a draft statement of work for Phase 1 and stated that he sent the statement of work to a couple of vendors to review it and to see if he was on target with the estimate of \$400,000 for the assessment. Both vendors agreed it was in the ball park.</p> <p>Mike clarified to the committee that there is a onetime \$30 million dollar cost for this project and then a running fee of \$2.5 million per biennium to keep the program operating.</p> <p>There was a comment that we are building a statewide GIS utility now, in an incremental manner without a plan.</p>	<p>Motion to re-direct \$500k of framework funds to conduct the Phase 1 Assessment. There was a second.</p> <p>There was a vote with all in favor of redirecting the funds.</p> <p>There was a motion to direct Cy to work with the Policy Advisory Committee to</p>	<p>Cy will redirect the funds for this purpose.</p>

Topic	Discussion Summary	Action Req'd/ Result	Resp. Party
	<p>If we conduct the Phase 1 assessment, even if we don't get the full amount required for the rest of the project, we'll have a plan to guide the rest of our incremental efforts.</p> <p>The timeline for Phase 1 includes release of an RFP for consulting services within the next 30 days, selection of a vendor and initiation of Phase 1 work by the end of August, preliminary results that validate the costs and benefits estimates by the end of November, and delivery of system design and implementation plan by the end of January.</p> <p>Mike asked about the shelf life of the assessment, given the fact that we don't know if or when we'll get funding, and we won't have funding immediately when the assessment is done. Cy stated that he thought it would be good for at least a year, but would then have to be significantly updated based on changing technology. There was a comment that a Council member felt that it would be good for much longer because it was primarily about data and our data needs won't change.</p> <p>Cy said that in talking with Theresa McHugh regarding this project, she made it very clear that if the agencies are willing to support this project to the extent that redirection of existing funds is acceptable, she will get this on the table for serious discussion as part of the Governor's budget and it's likely to be successful with that kind of support. Mike followed up by saying that if we do the assessment, the business case is validated, then agencies say this is a good idea but they have other priorities, we'll be dead in the water.</p>	<p>finalize the Phase 1 statement of work and proceed with the RFP. There was a second.</p> <p>The vote was taken and the motion passed unanimously.</p>	<p>Cy and the PAC will work together to move forward with the RFP.</p>
New Business	None brought up.	Meeting adjourned.	