Planning Workgroup October 12. 2012

Attendees: John Boyd, Douglas County\*

Randy Dana, DLCD

Dawn Smith, Wallowa County\*

Bill Clingman, LCOG\*

Rob Denner, City of Philomath Katherine Daniels, DLCD

Gail Ewart, DLCD

Angela Lazarean, DLCD Alex Bettinardi, ODOT

Gail convened the meeting. We introduced ourselves, and Alex described ODOT's interest in statewide zoning to support its modeling needs. The zoning layer that ODOT currently uses is out of date and cobbled together. Our workgroup effort is happily coincident with ODOT's need for a better zoning layer for statewide modeling. ODOT would also use it to perform modeling on behalf of the smaller MPOs.

\* = via phone

Reports on action items from August meeting

Katherine Daniels, DLCD, on rural and resource zoning Handout. Draft compiled with help from other DLCD staff. Katherine went through each category and the thinking behind it.

5 categories of EFU zoning based on minimum lot size acres <40-acre go-below 40-acre go-below 80-acre 80/160-acre 160+-acre

Mixed Farm-Forest same acreage categories as above

Forest – after several thinking points, it was clear that this zone would work better in two classes.

Marginal Lands (can no longer be applied, grandfathered in just two counties, Lane and Washington)

Rural Development Zones, should zoning inside RCs be distinguished separately?

No one knew of a statewide data set of unincorporated area boundaries. This will be needed because the zoning will not necessarily break them out. Counties maintain boundaries and zoning for unincorporated areas. Katherine will consult with DLCD experts to develop a second iteration of the codes. We may want to invite Jon Jinnings to our next meeting. Katherine will let us know if that's necessary.

**ODOT needs to know** what is permitted to be built in a zone. The extent of development is not captured in the zoning. Katherine will think about how to include that.

Should various conservation zones be lumped together?

Should overlays be included? Different counties use parent/overlay differently, e.g. in Lane Beaches and Dunes is an overlay, but in Douglas it is a parent zone. Rob will try to generate a complete list of overlays. Gail notes that overlays are probably a separate data set. However, overlay zones usually restrict development more than the underlying zoning (but in some cases, like mineral and aggregate, it permits intensified development.

Some counties assign a zone to federal land; others do not. We need to figure out the best way to deal with this. The counties don't having zoning authority over the federal land, but doing so avoids voids in the dataset.

Angela L on contacting Mid-Valley governments for zoning data

Positive response from cities, willing to share, has not yet requested from counties. Some counties may charge for the data. Cities greater than 10k all have GIS, most others also. She thinks presenting them with an official letter of request will help. Gail will draft one and get it signed by the director.

Will the data be used internally only or be made available to the public?

Rob Denner on collecting local (urban) zoning codes

Making progress on talking to cities and counties but needs help. DLCD regional reps can assist. Gail will be talking to them at the end of the month.

Milt's not here to provide his report. This will be on our next meeting agenda.

## Gail on status map Web service

ArcGIS Online tool to track which cities/counties have been contacted and the results. The status maps will show which data have been collected. Updaters are currently DLCD's regional reps. Angela L is one and she has been adding information to the status map. **Gail** will check with Erik to understand whether non-state employees can get permission to update. Erik was also checking on whether the map can be shared beyond the circle of updaters. **Gail and Rob** will talk about how to blend Rob's status of local contacts with this Web service.

## Discussion

Cost recovery concerns are noted and will be managed appropriately. We can streamline the multiple efforts by leveraging DLCD contact information and the regional representatives that know the locals.

## Next Steps/Actions

Katherine will refine the rural zoning cross-walk and create a 2nd draft.

Bill will try out applying that 2nd draft cross-walk to Lane County zoning.

Angela and Rob will try applying a cross-walk to the city zoning collected so far.

Rob will look at the DOGAMI data structure as possible analog for compilation and generalization.

Rob will try to complete the list of overlay zones.

Gail will draft a letter for the director's signature.

Gail will follow up with Erik re the Web service status map access.

Milt will collect zoning framework uses from state agencies.

Review the Administrative Boundaries Data Exchange Standard. <a href="http://www.oregon.gov/DAS/CIO/GEO/pages/standards/standards.aspx">http://www.oregon.gov/DAS/CIO/GEO/pages/standards/standards.aspx</a>

Q: Is there anything we need to do that is beyond what the standard includes? Gail: we need to develop an extension to this umbrella standard that, at a minimum, sets out the common zoning table.

Next Meeting: Early December, two hours, in Salem (unless another host volunteers)

Action: Gail to set up Doodle poll for December meeting

Gail M. Ewart, GISP | Information Management Project Coordinator Director's Office
Oregon Dept of Land Conservation and Development
635 Capitol Street NE, Suite 150 | Salem, OR 97301-2540
Office: 503-373-0050 x266 | Fax: 503-378-6033
gail.ewart@state.or.us | www.oregon.gov/LCD