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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Overview of the data element and data standard procedures.
Examples from other data standards:
${ }^{a}$ Under the direction of the Oregon Geographic Information Council (OGIC), the Oregon Framework Program provides the structure through which the development of new, statewide GIS dato are created, documented, and stewarded. In 2015, the Framework Implementation Team leaders reviewed and prioritized the data elements in the Fromework progrom. This prioritizotion ronked the statewide land use data layer as a high priority dataset. While this dataset is not a foundational element, it will be valuable to many state agencies and is a key dota element for the Land Use Land Cover Framework theme. A statewide lond use dataset was created to represent the many ways land is currently used. There are several related dotasets that ore often used as surrogotes for land use: zoning data represents how land is allowed to be used as dictated by local jurisdictions; and comprehensive plan data are used to represent a community's long-term vision of how and where land will be developed over the next 20 years to accommodate expected population and job growth. The statewide land use data..."
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The Oregon Geographic Information Council (OGIC) oversees preparation of geospatial data stondards for the stote. The development of these standords facilitates the sharing of geospatial dota and assists with cooperative data development efforts. OGIC assigned a framework implementotion team (FIT) to guide the development of stondards for the various data themes, and separate fromework work groups are developing stondards for each theme. The Hozards Fromework is a collection of spatially referenced digital representations of potential notural hazards. Data elements in the Hazards Framework include channel migration, coastal erosion, eorthquakes, debris flows, drought areas, dust storm occurrences, flooding, landslides, volcanic hazards, wildfire, and tsunami inundation. Under the direction of the Oregon Geospatial Enterprise Office (GEO), the Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI) was tasked with developing a Tsunami Hazard Data Standord (THDS) to accompany the dataset. The focus of the THDS is to develop a consistent framework to allow for the systematic processing, storage, display and public access of a wide variety of tsunami parometers including the earthquake deformation models used..."

### 1.1 MISSION AND GOALS OF THE STANDARD

Statement regarding the mission/purpose of the data standard and what will be achieved via use of the standard.

## Examples from other data standards:

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The Oregon THDS provides a consistent and maintainable structure for doto producers and users to ensure the compatibility of datasets within the same framework feature set. The following goals influenced development of this standard:

- Foster the orderly development, sharing and maintenance of tsunami modeling data and associated derivative products that are being generated by DOGAMI and potentially others..."
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The SLUDS provides a structure for aggregating county tax lot data into a single, statewide land use classification hierarchy. It leverages work currently performed by local governments while also encouraging consistent application of
features. Attributes are $X, Y$ and $Z$ coordinates at a minimum, but may also include pulse number, return number, intensity, flight line number, scan angle, GPS time and feoture class.
Associated characteristics are ony of the additional information that is collected and shored in relation to point cloud dato. See Section 3 for the specification of minimal characteristics."
"A full description of the dato attributes can be found in section 3.1. The feature data types are lines, points, and polygons."


### 2.5.10 Transactional Updating

Detail the plan for these data to be updated and responsible parties for these updates Examples from other data standards:
"Transactional updoting for applicable data layers will be possible. The applicoble data layers will have periodic updates and will be hosted at the Department of Geology and Mineral Industries."
"The update process for the data produced following this standard is the responsibility of the local jurisdictions, the Oregon Department of Revenue for collection, and the dota steward for statewide compilation. While the data at the local level is updated regularly, onnual updates are sent to DOR and other state agencies. Once the crosswalks are built for each county, future updotes of the dataset should be less intensive. At this time, data produced using this standard are not expected to be updated on a regular or annual basis due to a lack of stewardship resources."
2.5.11 Records Management

Describe where the data standards will be hosted or stored. Provide detailed information if these will be available to the public, versioned releases, or other relevant information related to management.
Examples from other data standards:
"The SLUDS will be stored with other Oregon Framework standards. The geospatial data created using this standord will be made available to the public through standard means such as online data services or data downloads provided by state, federal or university organizations. Past published versions of the statewide land use data will be maintained by the data steword ond available for retrieval through a public records request."
"Past versions of Tsunomi data will be maintained and available for retrieval through versioned releases hosted by the Horizontal Steward."
2.5.12 Metadata

State what metadata standard these data follow. Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC), or the Oregon Metadata Standard? Provide website link if necessary or other important reference information.

## Examples from other data standards:

The stondard follows the Oregon Framework Metadota Standard for geospatial doto which is integroted with the Federal Geogrophic Data Committee, Content Standard for Digital Geospatial Metadata."

# Where to find more information: www.oregon.gov/geo/Pages/standards 

Oregon Geospatial Enterprise Office

## Oregon GIS data standards and best practices

GEO HOME
ABOUT GEO
GIS DATAAND SERVICES
GIS COMMUNICATION
GIS COORDINATION
-GIS STANDARDS
CALENDAR
CONTACT US

## OGIC Endorsed Data Standards

## Address Points:

- FGDC standards
- NENA Civic Location data Exchange Format (CLDXF) Standard $\downarrow$


## Administrative boundaries:

- Administrative Boundaries Standard, v2.0 A
- Comprehensive Plan Designations Data Standard $\ell$
- Zoning Extension to Administrative Boundaries Standard 11.0 A


## Bioscience:

- Oregon Fish Habitat Distribution Data Standard, v4.0
- Fish Passage Barrier Standard, v 1.1 A
- Wetland Mapping Standard, v2.1.1 人

Cadastral:

- Oregon Cadastral Data Exchange Standard v3.2 (updated 2018) A


## Climate:

- Oregon Climate Data Standard $\boldsymbol{A}$


## Coastal and Marine:

- Shoreline Access Data Exchange Standard v1.0 ß

Draft Standards for Public Review and Comment

- There are currently no data standards in review.

Draft Standards in Review by OGIC Technical Advisory
Committee

- There are currently no data standards in review by the OGIC Technical Advisory Committee.


## Standards Development

- FIT Standard Development Process v.1.1 A
- Oregon Geodata Compatibility Guidelines $\boldsymbol{A}$
- Oregon Data Standard Template 7


## Forums

- Framework Forums

